## HOROCYCLE FLOWS ON CERTAIN SURFACES WITHOUT CONJUGATE POINTS(1)

RY

## PATRICK EBERLEIN

ABSTRACT. We study the topological but not ergodic properties of the horocycle flow  $\{h_i\}$  in the unit tangent bundle SM of a complete two dimensional Riemannian manifold M without conjugate points that satisfies the "uniform Visibility" axiom. This axiom is implied by the curvature condition  $K \le c < 0$  but is weaker so that regions of positive curvature may occur. Compactness is not assumed. The method is to relate the horocycle flow to the geodesic flow for which there exist useful techniques of study. The nonwandering set  $\Omega_h \subseteq SM$  for  $\{h_i\}$  is classified into four types depending upon the fundamental group of M. The extremes that  $\Omega_k$  be a minimal set for  $\{h_i\}$  and that  $\Omega_h$  admit periodic orbits are related to the existence or nonexistence of compact "totally convex" sets in M. Periodic points are dense in  $\Omega_h$  if they exist at all. The only compact minimal sets in  $\Omega_h$  are periodic orbits if M is noncompact. The flow  $\{h_i\}$  is minimal in SM if and only if M is compact. In general  $\{h_i\}$  is topologically transitive in  $\Omega_h$  and the vectors in  $\Omega_h$  with dense orbits are classified. If the fundamental group of M is finitely generated and  $\Omega_h = SM$  then  $\{h_i\}$  is topologically mixing in SM.

Introduction. Horocycles have played an important role in noneuclidean geometry since its beginning, but horocycle flows on the unit tangent bundle of an orientable surface were evidently studied seriously for the first time by Hedlund and Hopf in the 1930's. The horocycle flow was defined for surfaces of constant negative curvature and was shown to be minimal if M is compact and ergodic if M has finite area. Apparently there was no study of the horocycle flow for the case of an arbitrary orientable, noncompact surface where the nonwandering set of the flow need not be the full unit tangent bundle, SM, of M.

In this paper we define and study the horocycle flow on the unit tangent bundle of a more general class of orientable surfaces, the *uniform Visibility surfaces*. We consider arbitrary surfaces of this type, both compact and noncompact, and we obtain basic information about the nonwandering set  $\Omega_h \subseteq SM$ . In particular we classify  $\Omega_h$  into four possible types, find criteria for the existence and classification of dense orbits in  $\Omega_h$  and the existence and

Received by the editors February 20, 1976.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 34C35, 53A35, 58F99, 70H99.

<sup>(1)</sup> This research was supported in part by NSF Grants GP-20096 and GP-43246.

density of periodic points in  $\Omega_h$ , and describe the minimal subsets of the flow restricted to  $\Omega_h$ . For example, we show that the horocycle flow is minimal in SM, M a uniform Visibility surface, if and only if M is compact. We do not consider ergodic problems. For recent work in this direction see [11], [18] and [19].

Uniform Visibility surfaces are surfaces that satisfy the "uniform Visibility" axiom, a certain condition on geodesics that is implied by the curvature condition  $K \leqslant -c^2 \leqslant 0$ . However, the geometric condition is much more general. One can show that any compact surface with Anosov geodesic flow is a uniform Visibility surface. Moreover, it is shown in [5] that any compact surface with negative Euler characteristic and without conjugate points along any geodesic is a uniform Visibility surface. One may create uniform Visibility surfaces whose curvature has both signs by starting with a complete surface M of constant negative curvature and modifying the metric in a neighborhood of a set of points  $\{p_{\alpha}\}$  that lie at a distance  $\geqslant \epsilon > 0$  from each other. In fact, for a suitable modification one may obtain a complete metric with Anosov geodesic flow that agrees with the original metric outside the union of some neighborhoods  $\{V_{\alpha}\}$  of  $\{p_{\alpha}\}$  and that has prescribed constant curvature  $k_{\alpha} > 0$  on a neighborhood  $U_{\alpha}$  of  $p_{\alpha}$ ,  $\overline{U_{\alpha}} \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ . Details of this construction may be found in [13]. If M is compact, then any small  $C^2$  perturbation of such a metric is also a uniform Visibility metric.

In the first section of the paper we list some basic background results that are needed for the exposition. Briefly, one studies the geometry of a uniform Visibility surface M = H/D by extending the action of the deckgroup D on H, the universal Riemannian covering surface, to the *points at infinity*, denoted by  $H(\infty)$ . One defines a limit set  $L(D) \subseteq H(\infty)$  that is invariant under D, and obtains information about the geometry of M by analyzing the action of D on L(D).

In the second section we define and state the continuity of the horocycle flow in the unit tangent bundle of an orientable uniform Visibility surface M. In special cases this section is unnecessary. For example, if M is compact with K < 0 or with Anosov geodesic flow in SM, then the flow arises from a  $C^1$  vector field on SM and is automatically continuous. In general the flow does not appear to arise from a  $C^1$  vector field (see the discussion in §2), and consequently the flow maps must be defined explicitly. The construction is clear but technical and only statements of results are given in this section. The proofs are found in the Appendix.

In the third section we obtain basic dynamical information about the horocycle flow. The method, an old one, is to use information about the geodesic flow in SM to obtain information about the closely related horocycle flow. We characterize and classify the nonwandering set  $\Omega_h$  of the horocycle

flow. We also describe the periodic points of the flow in terms of the "parabolic" fixed points in the limit set L(D), and we obtain existence theorems for the periodic points. For example, if  $\pi_1(M)$  is finitely generated, then  $\Omega_h$  contains no periodic points if and only if M admits a nonempty compact, totally convex set (Theorem 3.7). We also show that the periodic points are dense in  $\Omega_h$  if they exist at all.

In the fourth section we apply the results of the third. Some of these results, particularly those regarding the existence and classification of dense orbits in SM, are obtained in the case  $K \equiv -1$ ,  $\Omega_h = SM$  by Hedlund in [15]. We show that the horocycle flow has a dense orbit in  $\Omega_h$  if  $\Omega_h = SM$ , and in general  $\Omega_h$ , if nonempty, has a dense orbit except possibly in an exceptional case that we believe does not occur and in the degenerate case that  $\Omega_h$  contains only periodic vectors (see Theorem 4.1). Assuming that  $\Omega_h$  does admit a dense horocycle orbit we characterize those vectors in  $\Omega_h$  whose horocycle orbit is dense in  $\Omega_h$ . As one consequence of this discussion we show that if M is a noncompact, finitely connected uniform Visibility surface of finite area (or more generally if  $\Omega_h = SM$ , then every horocycle orbit in SM is either dense in SM or periodic. In this section we also study the minimal sets of the flow. If the flow is minimal in  $\Omega_h$ , then M admits a nonempty compact totally convex set, and the converse is true except possibly in the exceptional case referred to above. If M is finitely connected and  $\Omega_h$  contains periodic orbits, then the periodic orbits are the only minimal sets in  $\Omega_h$ . In the infinitely connected case we know little about the minimal sets in  $\Omega_h$  except that they consist entirely of "almost minimizing" vectors (Proposition 4.6). However, the only compact minimal sets are periodic orbits. (By finitely connected we mean that the fundamental group is finitely generated. Equivalent conditions for surfaces without conjugate points are given in Theorem A of [3].)

We conclude §4 with a discussion of topological mixing. Our main result is that if  $\Omega_h = SM$  and M is finitely connected but not necessarily compact, then the horocycle flow is topologically mixing in SM. In particular this result holds for all compact orientable surfaces with arbitrary curvature  $K \leq 0$  and negative Euler characteristic. The basic technique is due to Brian Marcus [18] who used it to prove topological mixing in the case that M is compact with K < 0.

1. Notation and preliminaries. We begin with notation. M will always denote a complete Riemannian manifold, and  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  will denote its Riemannian metric. All vectors tangent to M will be assumed to have length one, and SM will denote the bundle of unit tangent vectors of M with  $\mu: SM \to M$  the projection map. All geodesics of M will be assumed to have unit speed, and for any vector v in SM,  $\gamma_v$  will denote the unique geodesic of M whose velocity at t = 0 is v. The terms maximal geodesic, geodesic ray and geodesic segment

will denote a geodesic of M defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $[0, \infty]$  and a compact interval respectively. A geodesic ray  $\gamma$  is divergent if for any compact subset C of M there exists a positive number  $t_0$  such that  $\gamma(t) \in M - C$  for  $t > t_0$ . A geodesic ray is minimizing (on  $[0, \infty]$ ) if  $d(\gamma 0, \gamma t) = t$  for all  $t \ge 0$ ; ultimately minimizing if there exists a positive number  $t_0$  such that  $d(\gamma t, \gamma t_0) = t - t_0$  for all  $t \ge t_0$ ; and almost minimizing if  $d(\gamma 0, \gamma t) - t \ge -A$  for some positive number A and all  $t \ge 0$ . A vector v in SM is minimizing, ultimately minimizing, almost minimizing or divergent if the geodesic  $\gamma$ , has this property.

The rest of this section is a rapid sketch of basic definitions and facts. Details are omitted and may be found in §§1 through 5 of [10], §§1 and 2 of [5] or §§1 and 2 of [4].

Two points p and q on a geodesic  $\gamma$  are conjugate along  $\gamma$  if there exists a nonzero Jacobi vector field on  $\gamma$  that vanishes at p and q. M has no conjugate points if no geodesic of M has a pair of conjugate points. If M is simply connected and has no conjugate points, then any two points of M are joined by a unique geodesic. In the sequel, M will always denote a complete, simply connected manifold without conjugate points and M an arbitrary complete manifold without conjugate points. M may be represented as a quotient M, where M is a freely acting, properly discontinuous group of isometries of M.

DEFINITION 1.1. If p and q are distinct points of H, then  $\gamma_{pq}$  denotes the unique geodesic of H such that  $\gamma_{pq}(0) = p$  and  $\gamma_{pq}(a) = q$ , where a = d(p,q). Let V(p,q) denote  $\gamma'_{pq}(0)$ .

If H is two dimensional, then for any maximal geodesic  $\gamma$  of H,  $H - \gamma$  consists of two connected components, each of which is convex in the sense that it contains the unique geodesic segment joining any two of its points.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let H be two dimensional, and let  $\gamma$  be a maximal geodesic of H. Relative to a fixed orientation of H a point p in  $H - \gamma$  lies to the *right* (left) of  $\gamma$  if for some number t the pair of unit vectors  $\{V(\gamma t, p), \gamma'(t)\}$  has the same (opposite) orientation. The *right* (left) halfplane determined by  $\gamma$  consists of those points lying to the right (left) of  $\gamma$ .

Assuming now that H has arbitrary dimension and that q, r are points of H distinct from a point p in H we define  $\not \subset_p (q,r)$  to be the angle subtended by V(p,q) and V(p,r), the value lying in  $[0,\pi]$ .

DEFINITION 1.3. H satisfies the Visibility axiom if for every point p in H and every positive number  $\varepsilon$  there exists a positive number  $R = R(p, \varepsilon)$  such that if  $\gamma: [a, b] \to H$  is a geodesic segment satisfying the condition  $d(p, \gamma) \ge R$ , then  $\not <_p (\gamma a, \gamma b) \le \varepsilon$ . H satisfies the uniform Visibility axiom if the constant R may be chosen to depend only on  $\varepsilon$ .

H satisfies the uniform Visibility axiom if the sectional curvature K is everywhere  $\leq -c^2 < 0$ . Henceforth we shall assume that either H satisfies the uniform Visibility axiom or H has nonpositive sectional curvature and satisfies

the Visibility axiom. M = H/D will be called a (uniform) Visibility manifold (or surface in dimension two). Our arguments will always assume that H satisfies the uniform Visibility axiom, but they work equally well in the second case. We use extensively the results of [7] which are also true in the uniform Visibility case. Some proofs in [7] require modification, but this can be accomplished using the results of §2 of [4].

DEFINITION 1.4. Geodesics  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  in H are asymptotes if there exists a constant c > 0 such that  $d(\gamma t, \sigma t) \le c$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Geodesics  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  in M = H/D are asymptotic if they have lifts  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  to H that are asymptotic. Vectors v, w in SM or SH are asymptotic if the geodesics  $\gamma$ , and  $\gamma$ , are asymptotic.

Let  $\gamma(\infty)$  denote the asymptote equivalence class of the geodesic  $\gamma$ , and let  $\gamma(-\infty)$  denote the equivalence class of the geodesic  $\gamma^{-1}$ :  $t \to \gamma(-t)$ . A point at infinity for H is an equivalence class of geodesics of H, and  $H(\infty)$  denotes the set of all points at infinity. A geodesic  $\gamma$  is said to join points x, y in  $H(\infty)$  if  $\{x,y\} = \{\gamma(\infty), \gamma(-\infty)\}$  as unordered sets. Let  $\overline{H}$  denote  $H \cup H(\infty)$ .

PROPOSITION 1.5. Let  $\gamma$  be a geodesic in H, and let p be any point of H. Then there exists a unique geodesic  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(0) = p$  and  $\sigma$  is asymptotic to  $\gamma$ . If x and y are distinct points in  $H(\infty)$ , then there exists a geodesic  $\gamma$  joining x to y.

The geodesic joining x to y need not be unique (Proposition 5.1 of [10]). Geodesics  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  of H are equivalent if they join the same points in  $H(\infty)$ . Geodesics  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  of M = H/D are equivalent if they have lifts to H that are equivalent.

If p in H and x in  $H(\infty)$  are arbitrary points let  $\gamma_{px}$  denote the unique geodesic  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma(\infty) = x$ . Let V(p,x) denote  $\gamma'_{px}(0)$ . If q, r are points of  $\overline{H} = H \cup H(\infty)$  distinct from a point p in H, then let  $\not \prec_p (q,r)$  denote the angle subtended by V(p,q) and V(p,r). The space  $\overline{H}$  has a cone topology that makes it homeomorphic to the closed unit n-ball. Relative to this topology the functions V(p,x) and  $\not \prec_p (q,r)$  are continuous in the variables p, x and p, q, r.

Isometries of H and limit sets. If  $\varphi$  is an isometry of H then  $\varphi$  extends to a homeomorphism of H by requiring that  $\varphi[\gamma(\infty)] = (\varphi \circ \gamma)(\infty)$ . Each isometry  $\varphi$  of H has a fixed point in H since H is an n-ball. If  $\varphi$  has a fixed point in H, then  $\varphi$  is *elliptic*, a case we do not consider.

DEFINITION 1.6. Let  $\varphi$  be an isometry of H that generates a freely acting, properly discontinuous (infinite) cyclic group of isometries of H. Then  $\varphi$  is parabolic if it has a single fixed point in  $H(\infty)$ , and  $\varphi$  is axial if it has exactly two fixed points in  $H(\infty)$ .

If  $\varphi$  is a nonidentity isometry of H that generates a freely acting, properly discontinuous isometry group, then  $\varphi$  has at most two fixed points in  $H(\infty)$  by

Proposition 2.6 of [5] and hence must be either parabolic or axial. If  $\varphi$  is axial with fixed points x, y in  $H(\infty)$ , then there exists a geodesic  $\gamma$  joining x to y such that  $(\varphi \circ \gamma)(t) = \gamma(t+c)$  for all t and some positive number c.

DEFINITION 1.7. Let D denote a freely acting, properly discontinuous group of isometries of H. Let L(D) be the set of accumulation points in  $H(\infty)$  of an orbit D(p), where p is a point of H. L(D) is called the *limit set of* D and its complement  $O(D) = H(\infty) - L(D)$  is the set of *ordinary points of* D.

L(D) is a closed, D-invariant subset of  $H(\infty)$  that does not depend on the point p. It is precisely the set of points in  $\overline{H}$  where D fails to act freely and properly discontinuously. L(D) consists of one point, two points, a Cantor set or equals  $H(\infty)$ . If M = H/D is two dimensional, then L(D) consists of one point or two if and only if  $D \cong \pi_1(M)$  is infinite cyclic. If L(D) is an infinite set, then M admits infinitely many inequivalent periodic geodesics, and the orbit D(x) is dense in L(D) for each x in L(D).

DEFINITION 1.8. Points x and y of  $H(\infty)$ , not necessarily distinct, are dual relative to D or simply dual if there exists a sequence  $\varphi_n \subseteq D$  such that  $\varphi_n(p) \to x$  and  $\varphi_n^{-1}(p) \to y$  for every point p in H.

From Propositions 2.6 and 2.8 of [5] we obtain

PROPOSITION 1.9. If L(D) is a single point x, then x is dual to itself. If L(D) consists of two points x, y then x and y are dual, but neither point is dual to itself. If L(D) is an infinite set, then any two points of L(D), not necessarily distinct, are dual.

Horospheres. For details see §3 of [10], §2 of [7] and §2 of [4].

Define  $B: SH \times H \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $B(v,p) = \lim_{t \to +\infty} d(p, \gamma_t) - t$ . Define  $\alpha: H \times \overline{H} \times H \to \mathbb{R}$  by

- (1) If  $x \in H(\infty)$ , then  $\alpha(p, x, q) = B(V(p, x), q)$ .
- (2) If  $x \in H$  then  $\alpha(p, x, q) = d(q, x) d(p, x)$ .

The functions B and  $\alpha$  are continuous relative to the product topologies.

DEFINITION 1.10. The horosphere determined by a unit vector  $v = \{q \in H: B(v,q) = 0\}$ . Let L(p,x) denote the horosphere determined by V(p,x); alternatively,  $L(p,x) = \{q \in H: \alpha(p,x,q) = 0\}$ . A horosphere at x is a horosphere L(p,x) for some p in H.

DEFINITION 1.11. A Busemann function f at a point x in  $H(\infty)$  is one of the functions  $f: q \to \alpha(p, x, q)$ .

PROPOSITION 1.12. Busemann functions have the following properties:

- (1) If f is a Busemann function at any point x in  $H(\infty)$ , then  $|f(p) f(q)| \le d(p,q)$  for any points p, q of H.
- (2) If f and g are any two Busemann functions at a point x in  $H(\infty)$ , then f g is constant in H. In particular  $f(\gamma t) f(\gamma s) = s t$  for any geodesic  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma(\infty) = x$  and for all numbers s, t.

(3) Any Busemann function f at x is  $C^1$  in H and  $(\operatorname{grad} f)(q) = -V(q, x)$  for all q in H. The level sets of f are the horospheres at x.

DEFINITION 1.13. Let f be a Busemann function at x, L a horosphere at x and p a point of L. The *inside of*  $L = \{q \in H: f(q) < f(p) = f(L)\}$ , and the outside of  $L = \{q \in H: f(q) > f(p)\}$ .

The various minimizing conditions on geodesics of M = H/D can be formulated usefully in terms of Busemann functions. Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  be a geodesic ray in H, and let  $\gamma = \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}$  be the corresponding geodesic ray in M. Let f be a Busemann function at  $x = \tilde{\gamma}(\infty)$ . Then  $\gamma$  is minimizing if and only if  $f(\varphi\tilde{\gamma}0) \geqslant f(\tilde{\gamma}0)$  for all  $\varphi$  in D; ultimately minimizing if and only if  $f(\varphi\tilde{\gamma}t_0) \geqslant f(\tilde{\gamma}t_0)$  for some  $t_0 > 0$  and all  $\varphi$ ; almost minimizing if and only if  $f(\varphi\tilde{\gamma}0) \geqslant f(\tilde{\gamma}0) - A$  for all  $\varphi$  and some positive number A. See the argument of Lemma 7.3 of [10] for details.

2. Definition of the horocycle flow. We assume in this section that M = H/D is a complete, orientable two dimensional manifold that either has nonpositive Gaussian curvature or has no conjugate points and satisfies the uniform Visibility axiom. Fix orientations of H and M so that the projection map  $\pi: H \to M$  is orientation preserving. In defining the horocycle flow in SM we obtain a continuous map  $h: SM \times \mathbb{R} \to SM$  with associated flow maps  $h_t: v \to h(t,v)$ . We apparently cannot easily obtain this flow from a  $C^1$  vector field on SM in the general situation that we consider. There does exist a naturally defined vector field Z on SM, and it gives rise to the flow maps  $\{h_t\}$  whenever it is  $C^1$  (for example, M compact with K < 0). In general it is not clear that Z satisfies Lipschitz conditions strong enough to produce unique integral curves through each point of M. For this reason the construction of the flow depends upon elementary but technical results that are only stated here and proved in detail in the Appendix.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let L(p,x) be an arbitrary horocycle in H. Then there exists a unique  $C^1$  unit speed curve  $\beta: \mathbb{R} \to L(p,x)$  that is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto L(p,x) such that  $\beta(0) = p$  and the pair  $\{V(p,x), \beta'(0)\}$  is positively oriented.

Assuming this fact we may define the horocycle flow in SM. We call the curve  $\beta$  the positively oriented parametrization of L(p, x) starting at p.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v \in SH$  be given. Define  $h_0$  to be the identity map on SH. If  $t \neq 0$  and v is written as V(p,x), then define  $h_t v = V(\beta t, x)$ , where  $\beta$  is the positively oriented parametrization of L(p, x) starting at p.

PROPOSITION 2.3. For any numbers s, t we have  $h_{s+t} = h_s \circ h_t$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. Define h:  $SH \times \mathbf{R} \to SH$  by  $h(v,t) = h_{*}(v)$ . If  $SH \times \mathbf{R}$  has

the product topology, then the map h is continuous.

We now define the horocycle flow in SM, M = H/D. If  $\beta$  is the positively oriented parametrization of a horocycle L(p,x) that starts at p, then  $\varphi \circ \beta$  is the positively oriented parametrization of  $L(\varphi p, \varphi x)$  that starts at  $\varphi p$ , for any orientation preserving isometry  $\varphi$  of H. It follows that  $\varphi_* \circ h_t = h_t \circ \varphi_*$  in SH for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and any orientation preserving isometry  $\varphi$  of H. We may define maps  $\tilde{h}_t : SM \to SM$  by setting  $\tilde{h}_t(\pi_* w) = \pi_*(h_t w)$  for any vector  $w \in SH$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The maps  $\tilde{h}_t$  are well defined, and it follows from the corresponding assertions for the maps  $h_t$  that  $\tilde{h}_t \circ \tilde{h}_s = \tilde{h}_{t+s}$  and  $\tilde{h}: SM \times \mathbb{R} \to SM$  is continuous.

3. Basic properties of the horocycle flow. In this section we describe for the horocycle flow the nonwandering set, the periodic vectors and the  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit sets determined by a vector. Our method is to use information about the geodesic flow to obtain information about the closely related horocycle flow. This approach was used by Hedlund, E. Hopf and others [14], [15], [17]. If  $\{g_t\}$  denotes the geodesic flow on SM, then each map  $g_t$  carries the horocycle orbit of v onto the horocycle orbit of  $g_t(v)$ . In fact, if s, t are numbers and  $v \in SM$  is any vector, then

$$(g_t \circ h_s)(v) = (h_{s^*} \circ g_t)(v),$$

where  $s^* = s^*(t, s, v)$  depends in general on three variables. However, if M has Gaussian curvature  $K \equiv 0$ , then  $s^*(t, s, v) = s$ , while if M has Gaussian curvature  $K \equiv -1$  then  $s^*(t, s, v) = se^{-t}$ . One can show that if the horocycle flow arises from a  $C^1$  vector field Z on SM, then Z is orthogonal to the  $C^{\infty}$  vector field V determined by the geodesic flow, relative to the inner product on SM arising from the Riemannian connection on M.

Our basic hypothesis is still that M is an orientable uniform Visibility surface or an orientable Visibility surface with nonpositive Gaussian curvature. Fix compatible orientations of H and M.

A complete flow on a second countable Hausdorff space X is a homomorphism  $\varphi$  of the additive real numbers into the group of homeomorphisms of X. Let  $\varphi_t$  denote  $\varphi(t)$  and let  $\{\varphi_t\}$  denote the entire flow. For each point x in X there are associated some closed sets that are invariant under each map  $\varphi_t$ .

- (1)  $\omega(x) = \{ y \in X : \varphi_{t_n} x \to y \text{ for some sequence } t_n \text{ diverging to } +\infty \}.$
- (2)  $\alpha(x) = \{ y \in X : \varphi_{t_n} x \to y \text{ for some sequence } t_n \text{ diverging to } -\infty \}.$  These are the  $\omega$  and  $\alpha$ -limit sets of x. For each  $x \in X$  the sets  $\omega(x)$  and  $\alpha(x)$  are contained in the *nonwandering set*  $\Omega_{\varphi} = \{ x \in X : \text{ for any open set } U \text{ containing } x, \varphi_t(U) \cap U \text{ is nonempty for arbitrarily large positive values of } t \}.$

The set  $\Omega_{\omega}$  is also closed and invariant under each map  $\varphi_{i}$ .

In the case that we consider X = SM. We denote the horocycle flow in both

SH and SM by  $\{h_t\}$  and the geodesic flow by  $\{g_t\}$ . The invariant sets for  $\{h_t\}$  will be denoted by  $\alpha_h(v)$ ,  $\omega_h(v)$  and  $\Omega_h$  respectively and those for  $\{g_t\}$  by  $\alpha_g(v)$ ,  $\omega_g(v)$  and  $\Omega_g$ . Let h(v) denote the orbit of v under  $\{h_t\}$ . Vectors periodic relative to  $\{h_t\}$  will be called h-periodic or simply periodic while vectors periodic relative to  $\{g_t\}$  will always be called g-periodic.

We begin by proving our remark that the map  $g_t$  carries h(v) onto  $h(g_t v)$ . It suffices to verify this assertion in SH. Given  $v \in SH$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $x = \gamma_t(\infty)$  and let L, L' be the horocycles determined by v,  $g_t v$ . If f is the Busemann function at x such that  $L = f^{-1}(0)$ , then  $L' = f^{-1}(-t)$ . Then

$$h(v) = \{V(q, x): q \in L\} \text{ and } h(g, v) = \{V(q', x): q' \in L'\}.$$

By Proposition 1.12,  $f(\gamma_{qx}t) = -t$  for all  $q \in L$  and  $f(\gamma_{q'x}(-t)) = 0$  for all  $q' \in L'$ , which implies that  $\gamma_{qx}t \in L'$  and  $\gamma_{q'x}(-t) \in L$ . Therefore  $g_th(v) = h(g_tv)$ .

Since  $\{g_t\}$  permutes the horocycle orbits it follows that for any  $v \in SM$  and any numbers s, t we have  $(g_t \circ h_s)(v) = (h_{s^*} \circ g_t)(v)$ , where  $s^* = s^*(t, s, v)$ . It is not difficult to show that  $s^*$  has the same sign as s.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v \in SM$  be given. Then  $g_t \alpha_h(v) = \alpha_h(g_t v)$ ,  $g_t \omega_h(v) = \omega_h(g_t v)$  and  $g_t \Omega_h = \Omega_h$ .

PROOF. If  $s_n$  diverges to  $+\infty$   $(-\infty)$  then it is straightforward to show, using Lemmas 2.1c and 2.4d (Appendix), that  $s_n^* = s_n^*(t, s_n, v)$  also diverges to  $+\infty$   $(-\infty)$ . This shows that  $g_t$  permutes the  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$ -limit sets. The invariance of  $\Omega_h$  under  $g_t$  is a consequence of the next result, which should be compared with Lemma 3.5 of [5].

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let  $v \in SM$  be given and let  $\tilde{v} \in SH$  be a lift of v. Then  $v \in \Omega_h$  if and only if  $\gamma_v(\infty)$  lies in L(D) and is dual to itself.

PROOF. Suppose first that  $v \in \Omega_h$ . Choose sequences  $t_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $v_n \subseteq SM$  such that  $t_n \to +\infty$ ,  $v_n \to v$  and  $h_{t_n} v_n \to v$ . Let  $\tilde{v}_n$  and  $\tilde{v}$  be lifts to SH of  $v_n$  and v such that  $\tilde{v}_n \to \tilde{v}$  and choose a sequence  $\varphi_n \subseteq D$  such that  $(\varphi_n)_* h_{t_n} \tilde{v}_n \to \tilde{v}$ . We assert that  $\varphi_n p$  and  $\varphi_n^{-1} p$  converge to  $x = \gamma_{\tilde{v}}(\infty)$  for any point p in H, which shows that x lies in L(D) and is self-dual.

We show first that  $\varphi_n p \to x$ , where  $p = \mu(\tilde{v})$ . Let  $x_n = \gamma_{\tilde{v}_n}(\infty)$ . By the choice of  $\varphi_n$  the point  $q_n = \mu(h_{t_n}\tilde{v}_n)$  can be written as  $\varphi_n^{-1}\bar{p}_n$ , where  $\bar{p}_n \to p$ . If  $p_n = \mu(\tilde{v}_n)$  then the distance from  $q_n$  to the geodesic ray  $\gamma_{\tilde{v}_n} = \gamma_{p_n}x_n$  is  $\geqslant \frac{1}{2}d(p_n,q_n)$  by the argument used to prove fact 2) of Theorem 5.2 of [7]. Hence

$$d(\varphi_n^{-1}p,\gamma_{px_n}[0,\infty))\to\infty\quad\text{and}\quad \not<_p(\varphi_np,\varphi_nx_n)=\not<_{\varphi_n^{-1}p}(p,x_n)\to0$$

by the uniform Visibility axiom. Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi_n p = \lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi_n x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma_{w_n}(\infty) = \gamma_{\bar{v}}(\infty) = x,$$

where  $w_n = (\varphi_n)_* h_{t_n} \tilde{v}_n$ . Since  $\not <_p (\varphi_n p, \varphi_n q) \to 0$  by the uniform Visibility

axiom it follows that  $\varphi_n q \to x$  for any point q in H. Next we show that  $\varphi_n^{-1} p \to x$ . Let  $p_n$ ,  $q_n$ ,  $x_n$  be as above and let  $\sigma_n$  be the geodesic ray  $\gamma_{q_n x_n}$ . It suffices to prove that  $d(p_n, \sigma_n[0, \infty)) \to \infty$ , for then  $\not\prec_p (q_n, x_n) = \not\prec_p (\sigma_n(0), \sigma_n(\infty)) \to 0$  by the uniform Visibility axiom. Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_n^{-1} p = \lim_{n\to\infty} q_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ . Suppose that by passing to a subsequence we can find numbers  $s_n \ge 0$  such that  $d(p_n, \sigma_n s_n) \le K^*$  for all nand some positive number  $K^*$ . Now  $s_n \to +\infty$  since  $d(p_n, q_n) \to +\infty$  by Lemmas 2.1c and 2.4d (Appendix). If  $f_n$  is any Busemann function at  $x_n$ , then  $f_n(\sigma_n s_n) = f_n(q_n) - s_n = f_n(p_n) - s_n \to -\infty$  by Proposition 1.12. However, Proposition 1.12 also shows that

$$|f_n(\sigma_n s_n) - f_n(p_n)| \leqslant d(p_n, \sigma_n s_n) \leqslant K^*,$$

a contradiction. Therefore  $d(p_n, \sigma_n[0, \infty)) \to +\infty$ .

Next suppose that  $x \in L(D)$  is dual to itself, and let  $v = (\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma})'(0)$ , where  $\tilde{\gamma}(\infty)=x.$  We show that  $v\in\Omega_h$ . Let  $p=\tilde{\gamma}(0)$ . By hypothesis there exists a sequence  $\varphi_n \subseteq D$  such that  $\varphi_n p \to x$  and  $\varphi_n^{-1} p \to x$ . By Proposition 2.7 of [3] there exists a point  $x_n \in H(\infty)$  such that  $L(p,x_n) = L(\varphi_n^{-1}p,x_n)$  and  $\varphi_n^{-1}p$ lies to the left of  $\gamma_{px_n}$ . The points  $x_n$  converge to x by the argument proving fact 1) of Theorem 5.2 of [7]. If  $\beta_n$  is the canonical unit speed parametrization of  $L(p,x_n)$  starting at p, then  $\varphi_n^{-1}p = \beta_n(t_n)$ , where  $t_n \to +\infty$ . If  $v_n =$  $\pi_* V(p, x_n)$  then we assert that  $h_{i_n} v_n \to v$  and  $v_n \to v$ , which will prove that  $v \in \Omega_h$ . Clearly  $v_n \to v$  since  $x_n \to x$ . If  $\tilde{v}_n = V(p, x_n)$  it suffices to prove that  $w_n = (\varphi_n)_* h_{t_n} \tilde{v_n} \to \tilde{v} = V(p, x)$ . Now  $\mu(w_n) = p$  and  $\gamma_{w_n}(\infty) = \varphi_n(x_n)$  so it suffices to prove that  $\varphi_n(x_n) \to x$ . But  $\not\leftarrow_{\infty^{-1}p} (p, x_n) \to 0$  since

$$d(\varphi_n^{-1}p,\gamma_{px_n}[0,\infty)) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}d(p,\varphi_n^{-1}p)$$

by the proof of fact 2) of Theorem 5.2 of [7]. Hence

$$\not <_p (\varphi_n p, \varphi_n x_n) = \not <_{\varphi_n^{-1}p} (p, x_n) \to 0,$$

which implies that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_n x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_n p = x$ . This completes the proof.

REMARK. For each  $v \in SM$  one can define prolongational limit sets  $h^+(v)$ and  $h^-(v)$  as in Definition 3.2 of [6]. Then  $v \in \Omega_h$  if and only if v $\in h^+(v) \cap h^-(v)$ . If v, w are vectors in SM with lifts  $\tilde{v}$ ,  $\tilde{w}$  in SH, then by arguing as in the previous result one may show that

 $h^+(v) = h^-(v) = \{ w \in SM: \gamma_{\overline{v}}(\infty) \text{ and } \gamma_{\overline{v}}(\infty) \text{ lie in } L(D) \text{ and are dual} \}.$ 

Compare Proposition 3.7 of [6].

The classification of  $\Omega_h$ .

THEOREM 3.3. Given M = H/D, one of the following possibilities must occur:

- (1) L(D) is a single point x. Then  $\Omega_h$  is a connected set consisting of a single asymptote class of vectors in SM. Every vector in  $\Omega_h$  is h-periodic, and D is an infinite cyclic group of parabolic isometries with fixed point x.
- (2) L(D) consists of two points x, y. Then  $\Omega_h$  is empty, and D is an infinite cyclic group of axial isometries with fixed points x and y.
- (3) L(D) is a Cantor set or  $L(D) = H(\infty)$ . Either  $\Omega_h$  is a connected nowhere dense subset of SM or  $\Omega_h = SM$ .  $\Omega_h$  is the orbit  $h(\Omega_g) = \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} h_t(\Omega_g)$  of the geodesic flow nonwandering set. If M is compact then it has negative Euler characteristic, and if M is noncompact, then D is a nonabelian free group.

PROOF. This result should be compared with Theorem 3.9 of [6]. All of these cases do occur, and an example of each may be found in [6, p. 499]. In particular if M is compact then  $\Omega_{\sigma} = SM$  and this implies that  $\Omega_{h} = SM$ .

- (1) The fact that D is an infinite cyclic group of parabolic isometries with fixed point x is proved in Theorem 2.18 of [4]. By Propositions 1.9 and 3.2,  $\Omega_h$  consists of the vectors  $\pi_* V(p, x)$ , where  $p \in H$  is arbitrary. Therefore  $\Omega_h$  is an entire asymptote class of vectors in SM and is a connected set. The fact that every vector of  $\Omega_h$  is h-periodic is proved in the next result, Proposition 3.4.
- (2) If L(D) consists of two points x, y, then D is an infinite cyclic group of axial isometries with fixed points x and y by Theorem 2.18 of [4]. Moreover that result implies that  $\varphi^n p \to x$  and  $\varphi^{-n} p \to y$  for any p in H and a suitable generator  $\varphi$  for D. Therefore x and y are not self-dual, and  $\Omega_h$  is empty by Proposition 3.2.
- (3) The assertions regarding D and L(D) are proved in Theorem 2.18 of [4]. If M is compact, then D contains a free subgroup on an infinite number of generators by Theorem 1 of [8], which is also valid in the uniform Visibility case. From Lemma 3.5 of [5] and Propositions 1.9 and 3.2 it follows that  $h(\Omega_g) \subseteq \Omega_h$ . Conversely let  $v \in \Omega_h$  be given, and let  $v^* \in SH$  be a lift of v. By Proposition 3.2,  $x = \gamma_{v^*}(\infty)$  lies in L(D). Let z be a point in L(D) distinct from x, and let  $\sigma$  be a geodesic such that  $\sigma(-\infty) = z$  and  $\sigma(\infty) = x$ . Parametrize  $\sigma$  so that  $\sigma(0)$  lies on the horocycle determined by  $v^*$ . Thus  $v^* = h_t \sigma'(0)$  for some number t since  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma_{v^*}$  are asymptotes. The vector  $w = \pi_* \sigma'(0)$  lies in  $\Omega_g$  by Proposition 1.9 and Lemma 3.5 of [5], and therefore  $\Omega_h \subseteq h(\Omega_g)$  since  $v = h_t w$  and  $v \in \Omega_h$  was arbitrary.

Suppose that  $\Omega_h$  contains an open subset 0 of SM. Then  $(\pi_*)^{-1}\Omega_h = \{V(p,x): p \in H, x \in L(D)\}$  contains an open subset U of SH. The fact

that  $V: H \times H(\infty) \to SH$  is a homeomorphism, where  $H(\infty)$  has the topology induced from  $\overline{H}$  and  $H \times H(\infty)$  has the product topology, implies that there exist open sets  $A \subseteq H$  and  $B \subseteq H(\infty)$  such that  $V(A \times B) \subseteq U \subseteq (\pi_*)^{-1}\Omega_h$ . Therefore  $L(D) \supseteq B$  and by Theorem 2.18 of [4]  $L(D) = H(\infty)$ . Hence  $\Omega_h = SM$  by Proposition 3.2.

Finally we show that  $\Omega_h$  is connected. Let vectors v and w of  $\Omega_h$  be given, and let V(p,x) and V(q,y) be lifts of v and w respectively to SH. If  $r \in H$  is arbitrary, then  $\pi_* V(r,x) \in \Omega_h$  by Proposition 3.2. Any arc joining p to r induces an arc of asymptotic vectors in  $\Omega_h$  from v to  $\pi_* V(r,x)$ . By the remark following Proposition 2.8 of [5] we can find a sequence  $\varphi_n \subseteq D$  such that  $\varphi_n x \to y$ . Therefore

$$w_n = \pi_* V(\varphi_n^{-1} q, x) = \pi_* V(q, \varphi_n x) \to \pi_* V(q, y) = w.$$

The vector w lies in the connected component C(v) containing v since  $w_n$  lies in C(v) for each n. Therefore  $\Omega_h$  is connected since v, w were arbitrary.

*Periodic vectors.* We characterize the h-periodic vectors and derive some existence theorems and a density theorem. A vector  $v \in SM$  is parabolic if for any lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$ , to H the asymptote class  $\tilde{\gamma}(\infty)$  is fixed by some parabolic isometry in the deckgroup D for M.

**PROPOSITION** 3.4. A vector  $v \in SM$  is h-periodic if and only if v is parabolic.

PROOF. Suppose that  $v \in SM$  is h-periodic and choose  $t \neq 0$  so that  $h_t v = v$ . If  $v^* \in SH$  is any lift of v, then  $v^* = (\varphi)_* h_t v^* = h_t (\varphi_* v^*)$  for some  $\varphi$  in D. The isometry  $\varphi$  fixes  $x = \gamma_*(\infty)$  since  $v^*$  and  $\varphi_* v^*$  are asymptotic. If  $p = \mu(v^*)$  then  $\varphi$  leaves invariant the horocycle L(p, x) by Proposition 1.12(3) since L(p, x) and  $L(\varphi p, x) = \varphi L(p, x)$  have the point  $\varphi p = \mu(\varphi_* v^*)$  in common. By Proposition 2.15 of [4]  $\varphi$  is a parabolic isometry and thus v is a parabolic vector.

Conversely let  $v \in SM$  be a parabolic vector. Let  $v^* \in SH$  be a lift of v, and let  $\varphi \in D$  be a parabolic isometry fixing  $x = \gamma_{v^*}(\infty)$ . It follows that  $\varphi_*v^*$  is asymptotic to  $v^*$ , and moreover  $\varphi_*v^* = h_tv^*$  for some nonzero number t since  $\varphi$  leaves invariant all horocycles at x by Proposition 2.15 of [4]. Therefore  $h_tv = v$  and v is h-periodic.

As a corollary we obtain

PROPOSITION 3.5. Suppose that  $\Omega_h$  contains h-periodic vectors. Then the h-periodic vectors are dense in  $\Omega_h$ .

PROOF. Let  $v \in \Omega_h$  be given, and let  $V(p,x) \in SH$  be a lift of v. Let  $w \in \Omega_h$  be h-periodic, and let  $V(q,y) \in SH$  be a lift of w. By the preceding result y is fixed by some parabolic isometry  $\varphi$  in D. By Theorem 3.3 we may assume that L(D) is an infinite set, and then since all orbits of D in L(D) are

dense we may choose a sequence  $\varphi_n \subseteq D$  such that  $\varphi_n y \to x$ . For each n the point  $\varphi_n y$  is fixed by the parabolic isometry  $\varphi_n \varphi \varphi_n^{-1}$  and hence

$$v_n = \pi_{\pm} V(p, \varphi_n y)$$

is h-periodic. Since  $v_n \to v$  and v was arbitrary the result follows.

Parabolic vectors are also significant for the geodesic flow. The next result is a combination of Propositions 3.1 and 4.2 of [4]. We remark that if M is not finitely connected, then by Proposition 4.3 of [4] there exists for each point p in M a unit vector v in  $T_p(M)$  such that  $v \in \Omega_h$ , v is minimizing but v is not parabolic.

PROPOSITION 3.6. If  $v \in SM$  is parabolic then v is ultimately minimizing. If M is finitely connected, then any divergent vector  $v \in \Omega_h$  is parabolic.

If  $\Omega_g$  is nonempty then one may show from Theorem 2.15 of [4] and Lemma 2.7 of [5] that  $\Omega_g$  contains g-periodic vectors. The analogy for  $\Omega_h$  is false.

THEOREM 3.7. Suppose that L(D) contains at least three points. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) M is finitely connected and SM has no h-periodic vectors.
- (2) M contains a nonempty compact totally convex set A.
- (3) For every  $v \in \Omega_g$  the maximal geodesic  $\gamma_g$  is contained in some compact subset of M.
  - (4) The set  $\Omega_g$  is a compact subset of SM.

In the terminology of §4 of [4], (1) is equivalent to the condition that M be finitely connected and admit only expanding ends. We remark that  $\Omega_g$  is nonempty by Proposition 1.9 and Lemma 3.5 of [5]. By finitely connected we mean that  $\pi_1(M)$  is finitely generated. A subset A of a complete Riemannian manifold N is totally convex if for any two points p, q of A, not necessarily distinct, the set A contains all geodesic segments joining p to q. If N has nonpositive sectional curvature then every closed totally convex subset A is a strong deformation retract of N. See [1] for a detailed discussion. We note that M also admits a compact totally convex set A if L(D) contains exactly two points x and y. If  $\tilde{A}$  is the union of those geodesics in H joining x to y, then  $\pi(\tilde{A}) = A$  is compact and totally convex and in fact  $A = \mu(\Omega_g)$ .

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We show that (1) implies (2). By Theorem 3.3 either  $L(D) = H(\infty)$  or L(D) is an infinite proper subset of  $H(\infty)$ . We consider these cases separately.

Suppose that  $L(D) = H(\infty)$ . Let p be any point in H, and let  $R_p \subseteq H$  be the canonical fundamental domain for D with center

$$p = \{q \in H: d(p,q) \leq d(\varphi p,q) \text{ for all } \varphi \text{ in } D\}.$$

(See §2 of [3] for basic results about  $R_p$ .) If  $R_p$  were noncompact, then there would exist a point x in  $H(\infty)$  that is an accumulation point of some sequence in  $R_p$ . The geodesic ray  $\gamma_{px}[0,\infty)$  would therefore be contained in  $R_p$  since  $R_p$  is closed and starshaped relative to p. Since  $x \in L(D)$  it follows from Proposition 3.2 that  $(\pi \circ \gamma_{px})'(0)$  is a minimizing vector in  $\Omega_h$  and hence v is parabolic by Proposition 3.6. This contradicts the hypothesis of (1). Therefore  $R_p$  is compact and  $M = \pi(R_p)$  is compact. Set A = M in this case.

Next suppose that L(D) is an infinite proper subset of  $H(\infty)$ . Under this condition we showed in §6 of [4] that M admits a closed, totally convex subset  $M_0$  that is contained in every closed, totally convex subset of M. Proposition 6.4 of [4] shows that  $M_0$  is compact under the hypothesis of (1). Therefore (1) implies (2).

We prove that (2) implies (3). Let A be a nonempty compact, totally convex subset of M. Given  $v \in \Omega_g$  there exists a vector  $v^* \in \Omega_g$  that is equivalent to v and such that  $\gamma_* t \in A$  for all t in R by Lemma 6.3b of [4]. We recall that v and  $v^*$  in SM are equivalent if there exist lifts  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  of  $\gamma_*$  and  $\gamma_*$  to H such that  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  join the same points in  $H(\infty)$ . Let  $\tilde{\gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be such lifts. For any point  $q = \tilde{\gamma}(t)$  we know that

$$\not \prec_a (\tilde{\gamma}(\infty), \tilde{\gamma}(-\infty)) = \not \prec_a (\tilde{\sigma}(\infty), \tilde{\sigma}(-\infty)) = \pi.$$

The uniform Visibility axiom implies that  $d(q, \tilde{\sigma}) \leq R$  for some positive number R not depending on q. Therefore

$$\gamma_t t \in \overline{B_R(A)} = \{ q \in M : d(q, A) \leqslant R \}$$

for all t in R. The set  $\overline{B_R(A)}$  is compact since A is compact. In fact,  $\overline{B_R(A)}$  does not depend on the choice of  $v \in \Omega_g$ .

We prove that (3) implies (1). If M were infinitely connected, then  $\Omega_h$  would contain a minimizing vector v by the remark preceding Proposition 3.6. There would exist a vector  $v^*$  in  $\Omega_g$  that is asymptotic to v since  $\Omega_h = h(\Omega_g)$  by Theorem 3.3. Therefore  $\gamma_{v^*}$  would be divergent, contradicting the hypothesis of (3). Therefore M is finitely connected. If  $\Omega_h$  contained an h-periodic vector v, then v would be parabolic and ultimately minimizing by Propositions 3.4 and 3.6. By choosing a vector  $v^* \in \Omega_g$  asymptotic to v we would obtain a divergent geodesic  $\gamma_{v^*}$ , contradicting the hypothesis of (3). This proves that (3) implies (1) and shows that (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent.

We prove that (2) implies (4). Since  $\Omega_g$  consists of unit vectors it suffices to show that the image  $\mu(\Omega_g)$  is compact in M. In the proof that (2) implies (3) we showed that there exists a compact subset A of M such that  $\gamma_t \in A$  for all t in  $\mathbb{R}$  and all v in  $\Omega_g$ . It is not difficult to show that  $\mu(\Omega_g)$  is a closed subset of M since  $\Omega_g$  is a closed subset of SM. Therefore  $\mu(\Omega_g)$  is compact since it is

a closed subset of A. We have shown that (2) implies (4) and since (4) obviously implies (3) the proof of the theorem is complete.

As a corollary we obtain

PROPOSITION 3.8. Let M be finitely connected and noncompact, and let  $\Omega_h = SM$ . Then  $\Omega_h$  contains h-periodic vectors.

PROOF. Proposition 3.2 implies that  $L(D) = H(\infty)$  since  $\Omega_h = SM$ . Therefore  $\Omega_g = SM$  by Proposition 1.9 and Lemma 3.5 of [5]. If  $\Omega_h$  contained no h-periodic vectors, then  $\Omega_g = SM$  would be compact by the preceding result. This can happen only if M is compact, contrary to our assumption.

We conclude this section by characterizing the surfaces described in the preceding result in a more classical way. The proof is not difficult, but we omit it since the result is not used.

PROPOSITION 3.9. The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) M is noncompact and finitely connected and  $\Omega_h = SM$ .
- (2) For some point p in H the fundamental domain  $R_p$  for D is noncompact and its boundary points in  $H(\infty)$  are fixed points of parabolic isometries and finite in number.
  - (3) For every point p in H the fundamental domain  $R_p$  has the properties of (2).

By a boundary point of  $R_p$  in  $H(\infty)$  we mean a point x in  $H(\infty)$  that is a limit of a sequence of points in  $R_p$ . In the terminology of §4 of [4] these surfaces have finitely many ends, all of them parabolic. If M has Gaussian curvature  $K \le -c^2 < 0$ , then M has finite area if it satisfies any of the conditions above.

4. Applications. We begin by investigating the existence of dense orbits of the horocycle flow  $\{h_i\}$  in  $\Omega_h$ . Clearly we must assume that  $\pi_1(M)$  is not infinite cyclic for in that case  $\Omega_h$  is empty or consists entirely of periodic vectors.

THEOREM 4.1. If  $\Omega_h = SM$  then  $\{h_t\}$  has a dense orbit in  $\Omega_h$ . In general suppose that  $\Omega_h$  contains nonperiodic vectors and  $\{h_t\}$  has no dense orbit in  $\Omega_h$ . Then there exists a positive number c such that the period of every g-periodic vector is an integer multiple of c.

As a consequence we obtain

COROLLARY 4.2. Let SM contain g-periodic vectors  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  with periods  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$  such that  $c_1/c_2$  is irrational. Then  $\Omega_h$  is nonempty and  $\{h_t\}$  has a dense orbit in  $\Omega_h$ .

We believe that the exceptional case in Theorem 4.1 does not occur and that  $\{h_l\}$  has a dense orbit in  $\Omega_h$  whenever L(D) is an infinite set. By Proposition 8.9F of [10] there exist infinitely many inequivalent periodic geodesics in this case.

To prove the corollary it suffices to show that L(D) must have at least three points, for then  $\Omega_g \subseteq \Omega_h$  admits g-periodic vectors (which are not h-periodic) by Proposition 2.7 of [5] and Proposition 2.15 of [4]. If L(D) were a single point, then there would be no periodic geodesics by Proposition 2.15 of [4], for example. If L(D) consisted of two points, then all g-periods would be integer multiples of a smallest period since D is infinite cyclic (Theorem 2.18 of [4]).

We now prove the theorem. If  $\Omega_h = SM$  then  $L(D) = H(\infty)$  by Proposition 3.2 and hence  $\Omega_g = SM$  by Proposition 1.9 and Lemma 3.5 of [5]. The result is now a reformulation of Theorem 5.2 of [7]. The terminology of [7] is different from that used here; for a uniform Visibility manifold M of arbitrary dimension and a vector  $v \in SM$  we constructed in [7] a strong stable set  $W^{ss}(v)$ , which is precisely the horocycle orbit h(v) if M is two dimensional.

In the case that  $\Omega_h = SM$  we shall need the following result, which is contained in the proof of Theorem 5.5 of [7] beginning with the second paragraph.

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $v \in \Omega_h$  be not almost minimizing and let c > 0 be the period of some g-periodic vector. Then for every vector  $w \in \Omega_h$  there exists a number d with  $0 \le d \le c$  such that  $g_d w \in \overline{h(v)}$ .

We now complete the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let  $A_0$  denote the additive subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}$  generated by the periods of all g-periodic vectors. The closure of  $A_0$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ , denoted by A, is also an additive subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}$ , and it is easy to see that either  $A = \mathbf{R}$  or A consists of integer multiples of some positive number c. In the latter case all g-periods are integer multiples of c, so it suffices to prove the theorem by showing that if  $A = \mathbf{R}$ , then the horocycle flow has a dense orbit in  $\Omega_b$ .

Let  $v \in \Omega_h$  be a vector that is not almost minimizing; that is,  $d(\gamma, 0, \gamma, t) - t \to -\infty$  as  $t \to +\infty$ . For example, any vector v that is g-periodic is not almost minimizing. We shall show that regardless of the nature of A, if c' > 0 is any element of A, then for any vector  $v^* \in \Omega_h$  there exists a number d with  $0 \le d \le c'$  such that  $g_d v^*$  lies in the closure of the horocycle orbit of v, h(v). If  $A = \mathbb{R}$  then A contains arbitrarily small positive numbers c', and it follows that any vector  $v \in \Omega_h$  that is not almost minimizing has a dense orbit in  $\Omega_h$ .

We may prove the assertion above in the case that c'>0 lies in  $A_0$  since  $A_0$  is dense in A.  $A_0$  consists of finite sums  $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i w_i$ , where  $m_i$  is an arbitrary integer and  $w_i>0$  is the period of some vector  $v_i$  that is g-periodic. We note that  $v_i$  is not almost minimizing. Replacing  $v_i$  by a suitable translate  $g_{t_i}v_i$  we may further assume that  $v_1 \in \overline{h(v)}$  and  $v_{i+1} \in \overline{h(v_i)}$  for every  $i \ge 1$  by Lemma 4.1. Let  $v^* \in \Omega_h$  be arbitrary. Then  $g_i v^* \in \overline{h(v_k)}$  for some number t by Lemma 4.1. Choose an integer n such that  $nc' \le t \le (n+1)c'$ . Since  $g_t v^* \in \overline{h(v_k)}$  it follows that

$$g_{t-nm_kw_k}v^* \in g_{-nm_kw_k}\overline{h(v_k)} = \overline{h(g_{-nm_kw_k}v_k)} = \overline{h(v_k)}.$$

Now  $\overline{h(v_k)} \subseteq \overline{h(v_{k-1})}$  since  $v_k \in \overline{h(v_{k-1})}$ . Therefore

$$g_{t-nm_kw_k-nm_{k-1}w_{k-1}}v^*\in g_{-nm_{k-1}w_{k-1}}\overline{h(v_{k-1})}=\overline{h(g_{-nm_{k-1}w_{k-1}}v_{k-1})}=\overline{h(v_{k-1})}.$$

Continuing in this fashion we see that  $g_{t-nc'}v^* = g_{t-n(\sum m_i w_i)}v^* \in \overline{h(v)}$ . We have proved the desired result since  $0 \le t - nc' \le c'$  and  $v^* \in \Omega_h$  was arbitrary.

Classification of vectors with dense orbits in  $\Omega_h$ .

THEOREM 4.3. Suppose that  $\{h_t\}$  has a dense orbit in  $\Omega_h$ . Then  $v \in \Omega_h$  has a dense h-orbit if and only if v is not almost minimizing.

PROOF. This is Theorem 5.5 of [7].

In Theorem 2.3 of [15] Hedlund shows that if M has Gaussian curvature -1, if  $\Omega_h = SM$  and if  $v \in SM$  is not almost minimizing, then v has a dense horocycle orbit in SM. He does not remark that the condition that v be not almost minimizing is also necessary. The necessity of this condition was also recently observed in [20]. One can show that  $v \in SM$  is not almost minimizing if and only if for any lift  $v^* \in SH$  of v the horocycles at v = v have the following property: given a point v in v in v in v and a horocycle v and a horocycle v in v there exists an isometry v in v such that the open disc v is inside v in v is stated in terms of this formulation of the almost minimizing property.

COROLLARY 4.3. Let M be noncompact and finitely connected, and let  $\Omega_h = SM$ . Then every orbit of  $\{h_i\}$  is either dense in SM or periodic. Moreover, periodic orbits exist.

Hedlund proved an equivalent formulation of this result in Theorem 2.6 of [15] for the case that M has Gaussian curvature -1. The fact that his formulation is equivalent follows from Proposition 3.9.

PROOF OF THE COROLLARY. Every almost minimizing vector v determines a divergent geodesic  $\gamma_v$ . Consequently if v is not h-periodic, then v is nondivergent by Proposition 3.6, and thus v is not almost minimizing. There exists a dense h-orbit in SM by Theorem 4.1, and therefore every h-orbit in SM is either dense in SM or periodic by the previous result. The existence of periodic orbits is a consequence of Proposition 3.8.

Minimal sets. If  $\{\varphi_t\}$  is any complete flow on a space X, then a closed subset A of X is minimal if it is invariant under  $\{\varphi_t\}$  and if the  $\{\varphi_t\}$  orbit of every point a in A is dense in A. A periodic orbit is the simplest example of a minimal set.

PROPOSITION 4.4. If  $A \subseteq SM$  is a minimal set for the horocycle flow, then for any number t the set  $g_t(A)$  is another minimal set.

PROOF. The orbit  $h(g_t v) = g_t h(v)$  is dense in  $g_t(A)$  if and only if h(v) is dense in A. Therefore A is a minimal set if and only if  $g_t(A)$  is a minimal set.

Theorem 4.5. The horocycle flow  $\{h_t\}$  is minimal in SM if and only if M is compact. If  $\{h_t\}$  is minimal in  $\Omega_h$ , then M admits a nonempty, compact, totally convex set. If M admits a nonempty, compact, totally convex set and if  $\Omega_h$  is nonempty, then the orbit closure  $\overline{h(v)}$  is a minimal subset of  $\Omega_h$  for every  $v \in \Omega_h$ . Moreover there exists a positive number c such that if A, B are any two minimal subsets of  $\Omega_h$ , not necessarily distinct, then  $g_d(A) = B$  for some positive number  $d \leq c$ . In addition  $\{g_t\}$  is a suspension flow in  $\Omega_g$  over  $\overline{h(v)} \cap \Omega_g$  for any  $v \in \Omega_g$ . It follows immediately that if M admits a nonempty, compact, totally convex set and  $\{h_t\}$  has a dense orbit in  $\Omega_h$ , then  $\{h_t\}$  is minimal in  $\Omega_h$ .

PROOF. The first statement of the theorem is Theorem 6.1 of [7]. The proof of that result must be modified; the proof given here is simpler although identical in outline. If M is compact, then  $\Omega_g = SM$  since SM has finite measure relative to the natural Riemannian measure that is invariant under the geodesic flow. Therefore  $\Omega_h = SM$  by Theorem 3.3, and there is a dense h-orbit in SM by Theorem 4.1. Every vector  $v \in SM$  is not almost minimizing since M is compact, and hence  $\overline{h(v)} = SM$  for all  $v \in SM$  by Theorem 4.3. Conversely suppose that  $\{h_t\}$  is minimal in SM. Note that  $\Omega_h$  is nonempty; since  $SM = \omega_h(v) \cup \alpha_h(v) \cup h(v)$  for every  $v \in SM$ , either  $\omega_h(v)$  or  $\alpha_h(v)$  is nonempty. In fact,  $\Omega_h = SM$  for if  $v \in \Omega_h$  then  $SM = \overline{h(v)} \subseteq \Omega_h$ . If M were noncompact then for any point p in M there would exist a minimizing geodesic ray starting at p. The h-orbit of  $v = \gamma'(0)$  would not be dense in SM by Theorem 4.3, which contradicts the minimality assumption. Therefore M is compact.

Suppose now that  $\Omega_h$  is nonempty and that  $\{h_l\}$  is minimal in  $\Omega_h$ . Then  $\Omega_h$  contains no h-periodic vectors since it never consists of a single periodic orbit (Theorem 3.3). We assert that M is finitely connected. If this were false, then  $\Omega_h$  would contain a minimizing vector that is not parabolic by Proposition 4.3 of [4]. Therefore h(v) would not be dense in  $\Omega_h$  by Theorem 4.3, a contradiction. Thus, M is finitely connected, and by Theorem 3.7, M admits a nonempty, compact, totally convex set.

Next, assume that M admits a nonempty, compact, totally convex set. Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 6.3b of [4] show that the geodesic ray  $\gamma_v$  is nondivergent on  $[0, \infty)$  for every  $v \in \Omega_h$ . Therefore any vector  $v \in \Omega_h$  is not almost minimizing. Hence if v and w are any two vectors in  $\Omega_h$ , then  $g_d w \in \overline{h(v)}$  for some number d by Lemma 4.1. Since  $\Omega_g$  is compact an application of Zorn's lemma shows that  $\Omega_h = h(\Omega_g)$  contains some minimal set A. Let v be a vector in A, and let w be any vector in  $\Omega_h$ . Then  $g_d w \in \overline{h(v)}$  for some number d, which implies that  $w \in g_{-d}(A)$ . The set  $g_{-d}(A)$  is minimal

by Proposition 4.4 and since w was arbitrary this proves that every vector in  $\Omega_h$  lies in a minimal subset. Hence  $\overline{h(w)}$  is a minimal subset for every  $w \in \Omega_h$ . Now let A and B be any two minimal sets, possibly the same, and let  $w \in A$  and  $v \in B$  be given. Choose a number d such that  $g_d w \in \overline{h(v)} = B$ . The minimal sets  $g_d(A)$  and B must be equal since they intersect.

Define a number c to be a period if  $g_c(A) = A$  for some minimal set A. It follows that  $g_c(B) = B$  for any minimal set B since  $B = g_d(A)$  for some number d. If c is a positive period, then ones sees immediately that for any two minimal subsets A and B in  $\Omega_h$ ,  $g_d(A) = B$  for some number d with  $0 < d \le c$ . The set  $A^*$  of periods of the minimal subsets of  $\Omega_h$  forms a closed additive subgroup of R. If  $A^* = R$ , then clearly the horocycle flow is minimal in  $\Omega_h$ , while if  $A^*$  is an additive cyclic group generated by some positive number c, then c is the smallest positive period for the minimal subsets of  $\Omega_h$ . We remark that if c' > 0 is the period of a vector v that is g-periodic, then  $c' \in A^*$ . If  $B = \overline{h(v)}$ , then the minimal sets B and  $g_{c'}(B)$  both contain v and hence must be equal. Finally it is clear that  $\{g_i\}$  is a suspension flow in  $\Omega_g$  over  $\overline{h(v)} \cap \Omega_g$  for any  $v \in \Omega_g$  if  $\{h_i\}$  has no dense orbit.

If M does not admit a compact, totally convex set, then the minimal sets are entirely different.

PROPOSITION 4.6. Suppose that  $\Omega_h$  contains an almost minimizing vector. Then every minimal subset A of  $\Omega_h$  consists entirely of almost minimizing vectors.

COROLLARY 4.7. Let M be finitely connected, and suppose that  $\Omega_h$  contains vectors periodic relative to the horocycle flow. Then the only minimal subsets of  $\Omega_h$  are the periodic orbits.

PROOF OF THE COROLLARY. This result says that the minimal subsets of  $\Omega_h$  are of the simplest possible type. Note that if  $\Omega_h$  contains no periodic vectors, then M admits a compact, totally convex set by Theorem 3.7. A vector  $v \in \Omega_h$  is almost minimizing if and only if it is parabolic, hence periodic, by Propositions 3.4 and 3.6. The corollary now follows from Proposition 4.6.

REMARK. In Corollary 4.3 we actually proved the stronger result that if M is noncompact and finitely connected and if  $\Omega_h = SM$ , then the only closed sets invariant under the horocycle flow are SM and unions of periodic orbits. Before proving Proposition 4.6 we shall need the following result.

LEMMA 4.6. Let  $v \in \Omega_h$  be almost minimizing. Then  $\overline{h(v)}$  contains only almost minimizing vectors.

PROOF. Let  $v \in \Omega_h$  be almost minimizing. Then clearly  $h_s(v)$  is almost minimizing for any number s since the geodesics with initial velocities  $h_s(v)$  are asymptotic to  $\gamma_v$ . It suffices to show that  $\omega_h(v)$  and  $\alpha_h(v)$  contain only almost

minimizing vectors since  $\overline{h(v)} = \omega_h(v) \cup \alpha_h(v) \cup h(v)$ . We shall consider only the  $\omega$ -limit case.

Suppose that  $v^* \in \omega_h(v)$ , and let  $s_n \to +\infty$  be a sequence such that  $v_n = h_{s_n}v \to v^*$ . Let V(p,x) and V(q,y) be lifts to SH of v and  $v^*$  respectively. Then there exists a sequence  $\varphi_n \subseteq D$  such that  $(\varphi_n)_* V(\beta s_n, x) \to V(q, y)$ , where  $\beta$  is the positively oriented unit speed parametrization of L(p,x) that starts at p. Note,  $v_n = \pi_* V(\beta s_n, x)$ . There exists a number A > 0 such that  $d(\gamma, t, \gamma, 0) - t \ge -A$  for all  $t \ge 0$  since v is almost minimizing. It suffices to prove that given a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an integer N > 0 such that

$$d(\gamma_{\nu_n}0,\gamma_{\nu_n}t)-t\geqslant -(A+d(p,q)+\varepsilon)$$

for all  $n \ge N$  and all  $t \ge 0$ . If this is established, then it will follow by continuity that  $d(\gamma_{t*} 0, \gamma_{t*} t) - t \ge -(A + d(p,q))$  for all  $t \ge 0$  since  $v_n \to v^*$ . This will prove that  $v^*$  is almost minimizing.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. It follows by continuity of the vector function V that

$$d(\varphi_n \beta s_n, q) = d(\beta s_n, \varphi_n^{-1} q) \to 0$$
 and  $\varphi_n x \to y$ 

as  $n \to \infty$  since  $(\varphi_n)_* V(\beta s_n, x) = V(\varphi_n \beta s_n, \varphi_n x) \to V(q, y)$ . Choose N > 0 so large that  $d(\beta s_n, \varphi_n^{-1} q) < \varepsilon$  for  $n \ge N$ , and let f be the Busemann function at x such that  $L(p, x) = f^{-1}(0)$ . We note that  $L(\beta s_n, x) = L(p, x)$  for every n since  $\beta s_n \in L(p, x)$ . It follows by the discussion at the end of §1 that  $f(\varphi p) \ge -A$  for all  $\varphi \in D$  since  $d(\varphi, t, \varphi, 0) - t \ge -A$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Given an element  $\varphi \in D$  we observe that for  $n \ge N$ ,

$$f(\varphi\beta s_n) = f(\varphi\beta s_n) - f(\varphi\varphi_n^{-1}p) + f(\varphi\varphi_n^{-1}p)$$

$$\geqslant -|f(\varphi\beta s_n) - f(\varphi\varphi_n^{-1}p)| - A \geqslant -d(\varphi\beta s_n, \varphi\varphi_n^{-1}p) - A$$

$$= -d(\beta s_n, \varphi_n^{-1}p) - A \geqslant -(A + d(p, q) + \varepsilon)$$

since  $d(\beta s_n, \varphi_n^{-1} p) \le d(\beta s_n, \varphi_n^{-1} q) + d(\varphi_n^{-1} q, \varphi_n^{-1} p) < d(p, q) + \varepsilon$ . It follows that  $d(\gamma_{\nu_n} t, \gamma_{\nu_n} 0) - t \ge -(A + d(p, q) + \varepsilon)$  for all  $n \ge N$  and all  $t \ge 0$  since we have shown that  $f(\varphi \beta s_n) \ge -(A + d(p, q) + \varepsilon)$  for all  $n \ge N$  and all  $\varphi \in D$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.6. Let  $A \subseteq \Omega_h$  be a minimal subset for the horocycle flow. Suppose that A contains a vector v that is not almost minimizing. By hypothesis  $\Omega_h$  contains a vector w that is almost minimizing. For some number d,  $g_d w \in \overline{h(v)} = A$  by Lemma 4.1. We know that  $\overline{h(g_d w)} = A$  since the horocycle flow is minimal in A, but the lemma above says that v cannot be in  $\overline{h(g_d w)}$  since  $g_d w$  is almost minimizing. This contradiction shows that A contains only almost minimizing vectors.

If M is infinitely connected we do not know what the minimal sets of  $\Omega_h$  actually look like aside from the fact that they contain only almost minimizing vectors. We believe that in the infinitely connected case there exist vectors  $v \in \Omega_h$  such that  $\alpha_h(v)$  and  $\omega_h(v)$  are both empty, and in this case the orbit h(v) would be a minimal set.

The next result shows that the compact minimal sets of  $\Omega_h$  are particularly simple.

PROPOSITION 4.8. Let  $A \subseteq \Omega_h$  be a nonempty compact minimal set for the horocycle flow. Then either M is compact and A = SM or M is noncompact and A is a periodic orbit.

PROOF. We first dispose of the case that L(D) contains one point or two. If L(D) is a single point, then every orbit in  $\Omega_h$  is periodic by Theorem 3.3. That result also excludes the possibility that L(D) has exactly two points, for  $\Omega_h$  would be empty in this case. We may therefore assume that L(D) is an infinite set. If M is compact, then  $\{h_t\}$  is minimal in SM by Theorem 4.5, and A = SM.

We now suppose that M is noncompact, and we show first that  $\Omega_h$  is noncompact. If  $L(D) = H(\infty)$ , then let  $\gamma$  be any geodesic in M that is minimizing on  $[0, \infty)$ . By Propositions 1.9 and 3.2 the velocity vectors  $\gamma'(t)$  lie in  $\Omega_h$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , which shows that  $\Omega_h$  is noncompact in this case. If L(D) is an infinite proper subset of  $H(\infty)$  let  $\gamma$  be a geodesic of H such that  $\gamma(\infty) \in L(D)$  and  $\gamma(-\infty) \in O(D)$ . If  $w = (\pi \circ \gamma)'(0)$  then the vectors  $g_{-n}w$  lie in  $\Omega_h$  for all positive integers n. If some subsequence of these vectors converged to a vector  $w^*$  in  $\Omega_h$ , then we could find a sequence  $\varphi_n \subseteq D$  and a number R > 0 such that  $d(\varphi_n p, \gamma(-n)) \leq R$  for all n, where  $p = \gamma(0)$ . Hence  $\varphi_n p$  would converge to  $\gamma(-\infty)$ , contradicting the fact that  $\gamma(-\infty) \in O(D)$ . The vectors  $g_{-n}w$  therefore have no cluster point and  $\Omega_h$  is noncompact.

We show next that any vector v in A is almost minimizing. Suppose that this is false for some v in A and let a number c>0 be chosen as in the statement of Lemma 4.1. Since  $\Omega_h$  is noncompact we can find a vector w in  $\Omega_h$  such that  $g_t w \in \Omega_h - A$  for all  $|t| \le c$ . This contradicts the conclusion of Lemma 4.1 and the fact that  $\overline{h(v)} \subseteq A$ . Hence v is almost minimizing.

We now show that any  $v \in A$  is h-periodic, which will complete the proof. Let  $v \in A$  be given, and let  $V(p,x) \in SH$  be a lift of v. Let  $\beta$  be the canonical unit speed parametrization of L(p,x). Since the orbit h(v) is contained in the compact set A there exists a number R > 0 and a sequence  $\varphi_n \subseteq D$  such that  $d(\varphi_n p, \beta(n)) \leq R$  for each positive integer n. Let  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k$  be those elements in D such that  $d(p,\psi_i p) \leq 2R+1$ , and let G be the subgroup of D generated by  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k$ . We show inductively that each element  $\varphi_n$  lies in G. Clearly  $\varphi_1 \in G$  since  $d(p,\varphi_1 p) \leq d(p,\beta(1)) + d(\beta(1),\varphi_1 p) \leq R+1$ . Suppose that  $\varphi_n \in D$ . Then

$$d(p, \varphi_n^{-1} \varphi_{n+1} p) = d(\varphi_{n+1} p, \varphi_n p) \le d(\varphi_{n+1} p, \beta(n+1))$$
  
+  $d(\beta(n+1), \beta(n)) + d(\beta(n), \varphi_n p) \le 2R + 1$ 

since  $\beta$  has unit speed. Hence  $\varphi_n^{-1}\varphi_{n+1}=\psi_j$  for some  $1\leqslant j\leqslant k$ , and therefore  $\varphi_{n+1}=\varphi_n\psi_j\in G$ .

We now complete the proof. The surface  $M^* = H/G$  is finitely connected since G is finitely generated. Since v is almost minimizing in SM the discussion in §1 shows that there exists a number c>0 such that  $f(\varphi p) \geqslant f(p)-c$  for all  $\varphi\in D$ , where f is a fixed Busemann function at x. In particular  $f(\varphi p) \geqslant f(p)-c$  for all  $\varphi\in G\subseteq D$ . This implies that  $v^*=(k)_*V(p,x)$  is almost minimizing in  $SM^*$ , where  $k\colon H\to M^*$  is the projection map. By hypothesis  $d(\varphi_n p, \beta(n))\leqslant R$  for each n and hence  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi_n p=\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta(n)=\beta(\infty)=x$  by Proposition 2.13 of [4]. Therefore  $x\in L(G)$  since each  $\varphi_n$  lies in G. The vector  $v^*$  consequently lies in  $\Omega_h\subseteq SM^*$ , and by Proposition 3.6 there exists a parabolic element  $\varphi\in G$  such that  $\varphi x=x$ . By Proposition 3.4 the vector  $v\in SM$  is h-periodic. This completes the proof.

Topological mixing. A complete flow  $\{\varphi_t\}$  on a topological space X is topologically mixing if for any two open sets O, U of X there exists a number T = T(O, U) > 0 such that  $\varphi_s(O) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for  $|s| \geqslant T$ . In the discussion below we assume that M is a Visibility surface with  $K \leqslant 0$ . The basic result of this section is

THEOREM 4.9. Let M be a complete Visibility surface with  $K \leq 0$  such that  $\pi_1(M)$  is not infinite cyclic. Let  $A \subseteq \Omega_h$  be an orbit closure  $\overline{h(z)}, z \in \Omega_h$ . Suppose that  $\Omega_h$  contains a compact minimal set. Then for any two open sets O, U of  $\Omega_h$  that intersect A there exists a number T = T(O, U) > 0 such that  $h_*(O) \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for  $|s| \geq T$ .

The result above is not an assertion of topological mixing since the sets O, U are open in  $\Omega_h$ , not in A. This restriction is necessary, however, for the set A might be a periodic orbit, for example, a case in which  $\{h_t\}$  restricted to A is not topologically mixing. As corollaries we obtain the following two results.

THEOREM 4.10. Let M be a complete Visibility surface with  $K \leq 0$  such that  $\pi_1(M)$  is not infinite cyclic. Let  $\{h_t\}$  admit both a dense orbit in  $\Omega_h$  and a periodic orbit in  $\Omega_h$ . Then  $\{h_t\}$  is topologically mixing in  $\Omega_h$ .

THEOREM 4.11. Let M be a complete Visibility surface with  $K \leq 0$  such that  $K \not\equiv 0$  and  $\Omega_h = SM$ . If  $\pi_1(M)$  is finitely generated, then  $\{h_t\}$  is topologically mixing in SM. In particular if M is a compact orientable surface with negative Euler characteristic and curvature  $K \leq 0$ , then  $\{h_t\}$  is topologically mixing in SM.

Before proving Theorem 4.9 we establish the two corollaries. Theorem 4.10 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.9. Consider Theorem 4.11, and let M be as given there. (In fact, the condition that  $\Omega_h = SM$  actually implies that  $K \not\equiv 0$ , but we omit the details.) If M is compact, then the flow  $\{h_t\}$  is minimal on the compact set SM by Theorem 4.5 above. The topological mixing of  $\{h_t\}$  in SM now follows from Theorem 4.9. If M is noncompact, then SM admits h-periodic vectors by Proposition 3.8. It follows by Theorem 4.9 that  $\{h_t\}$  is topologically mixing in SM since each periodic orbit is a compact minimal set and  $\{h_t\}$  has a dense orbit in SM by Theorem 4.1. Finally let M be compact and orientable with curvature  $K \leqslant 0$  and negative Euler characteristic. M is a Visibility surface by Theorem 5.1 of [5], and  $K \not\equiv 0$  by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. Now  $\Omega_g = SM$  since SM is compact and the geodesic flow preserves a natural measure arising from a differential form. By Theorem 3.3,  $\Omega_h = SM$ , which reduces us to a case already considered. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.11.

The proof of Theorem 4.9 uses some rather technical preliminary results. We merely state them here and give the proofs in Appendix II. In each case we assume that  $\pi_1(M)$  is not infinite cyclic.

Lemma 4.9a. Suppose that  $\{h_t\}$  admits a compact minimal set  $B \subseteq \Omega_h$ . Then  $\{v \in \Omega_h : \overline{h(v)} \text{ is a compact minimal set}\}$  is a dense subset of  $\Omega_h$ .

LEMMA 4.9b. Let  $B \subseteq \Omega_h$  be a compact minimal set, and let O be an open subset of  $\Omega_h$  that meets B. Then there exists a number  $s_0 > 0$  such that if  $J \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is any open interval of length  $\geqslant s_0$ , then for any point  $x \in B$ ,  $h_J(x) = \{h_I(x): t \in J\}$  intersects O.

An arc of an orbit h(x) is a set  $\sigma = h_J(x) = \{h_t(x): t \in J\}$ , where  $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an interval, either bounded or unbounded. The parametrized length of  $\sigma$  is defined to be the length of J and is denoted by  $L(\sigma)$ .

LEMMA 4.9c Let  $B \subseteq \Omega_h$  be a compact minimal set, and let numbers  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $s_0 > 0$  be given. Then there exists a number  $T = T(\varepsilon, s_0, B) > 0$  such that for any  $x \in B$  and any arc  $\sigma \subseteq h(x)$  of parametrized length  $\geqslant T$  we have  $L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma) - L(\sigma) \geqslant s_0$ .

We are now ready to prove Theorem 4.9. Let  $A = \overline{h(z)} \subseteq \Omega_h$  be given. Let O, U be open subsets of  $\Omega_h$  that intersect A. Choose  $x_1 = h_{t_1}z \in O \cap A$  and  $x_2 = h_{t_2}z \in U \cap A$ . Choose a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  and open sets  $O^* \subseteq O$  and  $U^* \subseteq U$  such that  $x_1 \in O^*$ ,  $x_2 \in U^*$  and  $g_t(O^*) \subseteq O$ ,  $g_t(U^*) \subseteq U$  for all  $|t| \le \varepsilon$ . Since  $h_r x_1 = x_2$ , where  $r = t_2 - t_1$ , we can choose  $O^*$  to be still smaller if necessary so that  $h_r(O^*) \subseteq U^*$ . Since  $\{h_t\}$  admits a compact minimal set in  $\Omega_h$ , Lemma 4.9a allows us to choose  $v \in O^*$  so that  $B = \overline{h(v)}$  is a compact minimal set in  $\Omega_h$ . Choose  $s_0 > 0$  as in Lemma 4.9b to

correspond to B and  $U^*$ . The set  $g_{\varepsilon}(B)$  is a compact minimal set by Proposition 4.4. Choose  $T = T(\varepsilon, s_0, g_{\varepsilon}(B)) > 0$  as in Lemma 4.9c to correspond to  $\varepsilon$ ,  $s_0$  and  $g_{\varepsilon}(B)$ .

We assert that for all  $|s| \ge T$ ,  $h_s(O) \cap U$  is nonempty. Let C be the curve  $\{g_t(v): 0 \le t \le \varepsilon\}$ . Then  $C \subseteq O$  and it suffices to show that  $h_s(C) \cap U$  is nonempty. This idea and the technique for proving it are due to Brian Marcus, who used it to prove topological mixing in the case that M is compact with negative Gaussian curvature [18]. Let a number s with  $|s| \ge T$  be given. For simplicity we consider only the case that  $s \ge T$ . Let  $v^* = g_{\varepsilon}v$ , and let  $\sigma \subseteq h(v^*)$  be the arc  $\{h_t(v^*): 0 \le t \le s\}$ . The arc  $g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma \subseteq h(v)$  consists of  $\{h_t(v): 0 \le t \le s^*\}$ , where  $h_{s^*}(v) = g_{\varepsilon}^{-1}h_s(v^*)$ . Now  $s^* \ge s + s_0$  by Lemma 4.9c and the choice of T. The interval  $\sigma^* = \{h_t(v): s \le t \le s^*\}$  is a subarc of  $g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma$  of parametrized length  $\ge s_0$ , and by Lemma 4.9b,  $\sigma^*$  meets  $U^*$ . The curve  $h_s(C)$  joins  $h_s(v)$  to  $h_s(v^*)$ . To prove that  $h_s(C) \cap U$  is nonempty it suffices by choice of  $U^*$  to show that each point q of  $\sigma^*$  is of the form  $g_u(q^*)$  for some  $u \in [-\varepsilon, 0]$  and some point  $q^* \in h_s(C)$ .

Define a map  $\eta: [0, \varepsilon] \to h(v)$  by  $\eta(t) = (g_t^{-1} h_s g_t)(v)$ . The set  $\eta[0, \varepsilon]$  is contained in h(v) since  $g_t$  carries h-orbits into h-orbits. Now  $\eta(0) = h_s(v)$  and  $\eta(\varepsilon) = h_{s^*}(v)$ , and hence  $\eta[0, \varepsilon]$  contains  $\sigma^* \subseteq h(v)$ . Let  $q \in \sigma^*$  be given and choose  $t_0 \in [0, \varepsilon]$  such that  $q = \eta(t_0)$ . Then  $q = g_{-t_0}(q^*)$ , where

$$q^* = (h_s g_{t_0})(v) \in h_s(C).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.9.

5. Appendix I. In this section we prove the results stated in §2. The first result defines the distance along a horocycle relative to a fixed point on it.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let L(p,x) be an arbitrary horocycle in H. Then there exists a unique  $C^1$  unit speed curve  $\beta \colon \mathbf{R} \to L(p,x)$  which is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}$  onto L(p,x) such that  $\beta(0) = p$  and the pair  $\{V(p,x),\beta'(0)\}$  is positively oriented.

REMARK.  $L(p,x) = f^{-1}(0)$  where f is the  $C^1$  Busemann function  $q \to \alpha(p,x,q)$ , and hence L(p,x) is a closed  $C^1$  submanifold of H. Recall that the horocycle  $L(q,x) = \{r \in H: f(r) = f(q)\}$ . Since  $(\operatorname{grad} f)(q) = -V(q,x)$  by Proposition 1.12, it follows that V(q,x) is a perpendicular unit vector field on L(q,x) for any q in H.

We prove the proposition in a series of lemmas. The parametrization  $\beta$  of L(p,x) defined above will be referred to as the positively oriented unit speed parametrization of L(p,x) starting at p.

LEMMA 2.1a. Let  $\beta_1: I \to L(p, x)$  and  $\beta_2: I \to L(p, x)$  be two  $C^1$  unit speed curves defined on an open interval I. If  $\beta_1'(t_0) = \beta_2'(t_0)$  for some number  $t_0$  in H, then  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$  in I.

PROOF. Let  $I_0 = \{t \in I: \beta_1'(t) = \beta_2'(t)\}$ . By assumption,  $I_0$  is nonempty, and clearly  $I_0$  is a closed subset of I. Since I is connected it suffices to prove that  $I_0$  is open in I.

Let  $s \in I_0$ . Since  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are nonsingular at s, there exists a neighborhood U of  $\beta_1(s) = \beta_2(s)$  in L(p, x) and intervals  $J_1, J_2$  in I containing s such that  $\beta_1: J_1 \to U$  and  $\beta_2: J_2 \to U$  are  $C^1$  diffeomorphisms. The map

$$\rho = \beta_2^{-1} \circ \beta_1 \colon J_1 \to J_2$$

is therefore a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism, and hence  $\beta_1(t) = \beta_2(\rho t)$  for all t in  $J_1$ . Differentiating we obtain the relation  $\beta_1'(t) = \rho'(t)\beta_2'(\rho t)$  in  $J_1$ . Since  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are both unit speed curves  $|\rho'(t)| \equiv 1$  in  $J_1$ , and since  $\rho(s) = s$  and  $\rho'(s) = 1$ , it follows that  $\rho(t) = t$  in  $J_1$ . Therefore  $\beta_1 = \beta_2$  in  $J_1$ , and this proves that  $J_0$  is open in  $J_1$ .

LEMMA 2.1b. There exists a one-one  $C^1$  unit speed curve  $\beta: \mathbf{R} \to L(p, x)$  such that  $\beta(0) = p$  and  $\{V(p, x), \beta'(0)\}$  is positively oriented.

Note that the lemma does not assert that  $\beta(\mathbf{R}) = L(p, x)$ .

**PROOF.** Since L(p,x) is a closed  $C^1$  submanifold of H of dimension one, there exist an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a  $C^1$  map  $\beta$ :  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to L(p, x)$  such that  $\beta(0) = p$  and  $\beta$  is a diffeomorphism of  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  onto its image, an open subset of L(p, x). By reparametrizing  $\beta$  we may assume that  $\beta$  has unit speed and that  $\{V(p,x),$  $\beta'(0)$  is positively oriented. Now let  $J_0$  be the union of all open intervals Jcontaining zero for which there exists a  $C^1$  unit speed curve  $\beta_I: J \to L(p, x)$ such that  $\beta_I(0) = p$  and  $\{V(p, x), \beta_I'(0)\}$  is positively oriented. The interval  $J_0$ is nonempty and equals (-A, B) for some positive extended real numbers A, B. Since  $\beta'_I(0)$  is the same for all intervals J, the previous lemma implies that any two of the maps  $\beta_I$  agree on the intersection of their domains. We therefore obtain a well-defined  $C^1$  unit speed curve  $\beta: J_0 \to L(p, x)$  such that  $\beta(0) = p$  and  $\{V(p, x), \beta'(0)\}$  is positively oriented. We assert that  $J_0 = \mathbb{R}$ . If this were false, then either A or B, say B for convenience, would be finite. Let  $t_n$  be a sequence in  $J_0$  converging to B. The points  $\beta(t_n)$  have distance  $\leq t_n$ from  $p = \beta(0)$  since  $\beta$  is a unit speed curve, and therefore we may assume that  $\beta'(t_n)$  converges to a unit vector v at a point q by passing to a subsequence. The vector v is tangent to L(p,x) at q since the vectors  $\beta'(t_n)$  are tangent to L(p,x) and L(p,x) is a closed subset of H. The pair  $\{V(q,x),v\}$  is positively oriented since the pairs  $\{V(\beta t_n, x), \beta'(t_n)\}\$  are positively oriented for each n. There exists an open interval I containing B and a  $C^1$  unit speed diffeomorphism  $\tilde{\beta}: I \to L(p, x)$  such that  $\tilde{\beta}(I)$  is an open set of L(p, x) containing  $q = \tilde{\beta}(B)$  and  $\tilde{\beta}'(B) = v$ . Let  $I^*$  be an open subinterval of I containing B whose closure is also contained in I. Since  $\tilde{\beta}(I^*)$  is a neighborhood of q in  $L(p,x), \beta(t_n) \in \tilde{\beta}(I^*)$  for sufficiently large n. Choose numbers  $t_n^*$  in  $I^*$  such

that  $\beta(t_n) = \tilde{\beta}(t_n^*)$ . The numbers  $t_n^*$  converge to B since  $\tilde{\beta}$  is one-one on I. Note that  $\beta'(t_n) = \tilde{\beta}'(t_n^*)$  since these are both unit vectors tangent to L(p, x) at the same point and having the property that the orthogonal pairs

$$\{V(\beta t_n, x), \beta'(t_n)\}\$$
 and  $\{V(\tilde{\beta} t_n^*, x), \tilde{\beta}'(t_n^*)\}\$ 

are positively oriented. The previous lemma implies that  $\tilde{\beta}(t) = \beta(t + t_n - t_n^*)$  in  $[t_n^*, B)$ . Because this is true for all n the difference  $t_n - t_n^*$  must be constant, and this difference must be zero since both sequences  $t_n$  and  $t_n^*$  converge to B. Therefore  $\tilde{\beta}$  agrees with  $\beta$  on some interval  $(t_0, B)$ , and this implies that  $\beta$  may be extended to  $(-A, B + \varepsilon)$  for a small number  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This contradicts the maximality of  $J_0 = (-A, B)$  and shows that  $J_0$  must be  $\mathbb{R}$ . The fact that  $\beta$  is one-one follows from the next result and the fact that  $\beta(t)$  and  $\beta(-s)$  lie on opposite sides of  $\gamma_{px}$  for any positive numbers s, t.

LEMMA 2.1c. Let I be an open interval containing zero, and let  $\beta: I \to L(p, x)$  be a  $C^1$  nonsingular map with  $\beta(0) = p$ . Then the derivative of the function  $t \to d(\beta 0, \beta t)$  is defined and positive for any t > 0 in I. Moreover if I contains  $[0, \infty)$ , then

$$d(\beta 0, \beta t) \to \infty$$
 as  $t \to \infty$ .

PROOF. Let  $f(t) = d(\beta 0, \beta t)$ . By Lemma 2.3 of [4] it follows that  $f'(t) = -\langle \beta'(t), V(\beta t, p) \rangle$  for all t > 0, where  $p = \beta(0)$ . If  $f'(t_0)$  were zero for some  $t_0 > 0$ , then  $V(\beta t_0, p)$  and  $V(\beta t_0, x)$  would be collinear because Proposition 1.12 implies that

$$\langle \beta'(t_0), V(\beta t_0, x) = -(f \circ \beta)'(t_0) = 0,$$

where f is the Busemann function  $q \to \alpha(p, x, q)$  whose zero level set is L(p, x). Therefore  $\beta(t_0)$  must lie on both L(p, x) and  $\gamma_{px}$ , which implies that  $\beta(t_0) = p$ . Since  $\beta'(0) \neq 0$ ,  $\beta(t) \neq p$  for small numbers t > 0. Therefore there is a smallest positive t for which f'(t) = 0, and we may assume that  $t_0$  is this value. Since f(0) = 0 and f(t) > 0 for  $0 < t < t_0$ , it follows that f'(t) > 0 for  $0 < t < t_0$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\beta(t_0) = p$ , and therefore we conclude that f'(t) > 0 for all t > 0 in I.

Suppose now that I contains  $[0, \infty)$ . For any r > 0 let  $\overline{B_r(p)}$  denote the closed ball of radius r and center  $p = \beta(0)$ . Since  $f'(t) = -\langle \beta'(t), V(\beta t, p) \rangle > 0$ , an argument similar to that of the previous paragraph implies that there exist numbers  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  such that

$$0 < \delta_1 \leqslant \not \leqslant (V(\beta t, p), V(\beta t, x)) \leqslant \delta_2 < \pi$$

for any t > 0 such that  $\beta(t) \in B_r(p)$ . Therefore  $f'(t) \ge \delta > 0$  for some

 $\delta > 0$  and any t > 0 such that  $\beta(t) \in B_r(p)$ . It follows that  $\beta(t)$  leaves  $\overline{B_r(p)}$  forever after a time interval of length at most  $r/\delta$ . Since r > 0 is arbitrary this shows that  $f(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to +\infty$ .

LEMMA 2.1d. Let  $\beta: \mathbb{R} \to L(p,x)$  be any  $C^1$  unit speed curve. Then  $\beta(\mathbb{R}) = L(p,x)$ .

PROOF. The previous result implies that  $\beta(\mathbf{R})$  is a closed subset of L(p,x). Also  $\beta(\mathbf{R})$  is an open subset of L(p,x) since  $\beta$  is a nonsingular map of one dimensional manifolds. It suffices therefore to prove that L(p,x) is connected. By Proposition 3.4 of [10] there exists a homeomorphism  $\psi \colon H \to L(p,x) \times \mathbf{R}$ , where  $L(p,x) \times \mathbf{R}$  has the product topology. Since H and  $\mathbf{R}$  are connected and  $L(p,x) \times \mathbf{R}$  has the product topology, it follows that L(p,x) is connected.

We now complete the proof of Proposition 2.1. The lemmas have shown that there exists a  $C^1$  bijective unit speed map  $\beta \colon \mathbf{R} \to L(p,x)$  such that  $\beta(0) = p$  and  $\{V(p,x), \beta'(0)\}$  is positively oriented. Clearly  $\beta$  is a diffeomorphism. The uniqueness of  $\beta$  follows from Lemma 2.1a.

We now define the horocycle flow in SH.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v \in SH$  be given. Define  $h_0$  to be the identity map on SH. If  $t \neq 0$  and  $x = \gamma_v(\infty)$  define  $h_t(v) = V(\beta t, x)$ , where  $\beta$  is the positively oriented unit speed parametrization starting at  $p = \mu(v)$  of the horocycle in H determined by v, namely  $\{q \in H: B(v,q) = 0\}$ .

The map  $B: SH \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined in §1.

PROPOSITION 2.3. For any numbers s, t in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $h_{t+s} = h_t \circ h_s$ .

PROOF. Let  $v \in SH$  be given, and let  $w = h_s(v)$ . Let p and q be the points of tangency of v and w respectively, and let  $x = \gamma_v(\infty) = \gamma_w(\infty)$ . Then L(p,x) = L(q,x) by Proposition 1.12 since both horocycles contain the point q. Let  $\beta$ :  $\mathbf{R} \to L(p,x)$  denote the positively oriented unit speed parametrization of L(p,x) starting at p. If we define  $\alpha(u) = \beta(s+u)$  for all u in  $\mathbf{R}$ , then it is easy to see that  $\alpha$  is the positively oriented unit speed parametrization of L(p,x) = L(q,x) starting at q. Finally,

$$h_t(h_s v) = h_t(w) = V(\alpha t, x) = V(\beta(s+t), x) = h_{t+s}(v).$$

Define the usual flow map  $h: SH \times \mathbb{R} \to SH$  given by  $h(v,t) = h_t(v)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. If  $SH \times \mathbf{R}$  is given the product topology, then the map  $h: SH \times \mathbf{R} \to SH$  is continuous.

It is convenient for the proof to introduce the "canonical" parametrization  $\alpha$  of a horocycle L(p, x) that starts at p. Let  $\alpha(0) = p$ . For t > 0 let  $\alpha(t)$  be the unique point of L(p, x) that lies to the left of  $\gamma_{px}$  at a distance t from p. If t < 0, let  $\alpha(t)$  be the unique point of L(p, x) that lies to the right of  $\gamma_{px}$  at a

distance |t| from p. The map  $\alpha$ :  $\mathbb{R} \to L(p, x)$  exists and is onto by Lemma 2.1c. The proof of Proposition 2.4 consists of a series of lemmas.

LEMMA 2.4a. The map  $\alpha$ :  $\mathbf{R} \to L(p,x)$  starting at p is  $C^1$  and nonsingular at any value  $t \neq 0$ .

PROOF. It suffices to consider the case where t>0 since the definition of  $\alpha$  depends upon the orientation of H. Given t>0, we may choose a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism  $\rho\colon (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\to L(p,x)$ , where  $\rho(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$  is a neighborhood of  $\alpha(t)=\rho(0)$  in L(p,x). Let  $k(s)=d(p,\rho s)$ . Since  $\rho'(s)$  is orthogonal to  $V(\rho s,x)$  for all  $s, k'(0)=-\langle \rho'(0), V(\rho(0),p)\rangle \neq 0$  by Lemma 2.3 of [4] and the fact that  $p,\alpha(t)$  and x are not collinear. Therefore, k is nonsingular on some neighborhood of s=0, and for some  $\delta>0$ ,  $k\colon (-\delta,\delta)\to (a,b)$  is a diffeomorphism where t=k(0) is a point in (a,b). If  $g\colon (a,b)\to (-\delta,\delta)$  is the inverse of k, then  $\tilde{\alpha}=\rho\circ g$  is a  $C^1$  nonsingular curve defined on (a,b). By definition,  $\tilde{\alpha}(t)=\rho(0)=\alpha(t)$  and  $d(p,\tilde{\alpha}s)=s$  for all s in (a,b). Therefore  $\tilde{\alpha}=\alpha$  in (a,b) and this proves that  $\alpha$  is  $C^1$  and nonsingular at t.

LEMMA 2.4b. Let  $v_n$  be a sequence of unit vectors in H that converge to a unit vector v. Let  $p_n$  and p be the points of tangency of  $v_n$  and v, and let  $\alpha_n$  and  $\alpha$  be the canonical parametrizations starting at  $p_n$  and p of the horocycles determined by  $v_n$  and v respectively. Let  $t_n$  be a sequence of numbers converging to a number  $t \neq 0$ . Then

$$\alpha'_n(t_n) \to \alpha'(t)$$
 as  $n \to \infty$ .

PROOF. We again consider only the case where t > 0. We show first that  $\alpha_n(t_n) \to \alpha(t)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since  $d(p_n, \alpha_n t_n) = t_n$ , the sequence  $\alpha_n(t_n)$  is bounded in H. If q is a cluster point, then  $\alpha_n(t_n) \to q$  by passing to a subsequence. The hypothesis implies that  $B(v_n, \alpha_n t_n) = 0$  for all n. Therefore B(v, q) = 0 and d(p, q) = t by continuity. Now q lies to the left of  $\gamma_n$  since the orientation of the pair  $\{v, V(p, q)\}$  equals the positive orientation of the pair  $\{v_n, V(p_n, \alpha_n t_n)\}$  for sufficiently large n. Thus  $q = \alpha(t)$ , and since q was an arbitrary cluster point of  $\alpha_n(t_n)$  it follows that  $\alpha_n(t_n) \to \alpha(t)$ .

To show that  $\alpha'_n(t_n) \to \alpha'(t)$  we shall need to show first that  $\|\alpha'_n(t_n)\|$  is a bounded sequence of real numbers. Let  $w_n = \alpha'_n(t_n)/\|\alpha'_n(t_n)\|$ , and let  $w_n$  converge to a unit vector w at  $\alpha(t)$  by passing to a subsequence. Since  $s = d(p_n, \alpha_n s)$  for all s > 0 and all n, by differentiating both sides we obtain the equation

$$1 = -\langle \alpha'_n(s), V(\alpha_n s, p_n) \rangle.$$

In particular

$$1 = -\langle \alpha'_n(t_n), V(\alpha_n t_n, p_n) \rangle = -\|\alpha'_n(t_n)\| \langle w_n, V(\alpha_n t_n, p_n) \rangle.$$

If  $\|\alpha'_n(t_n)\|$  were unbounded, then  $\langle w, V(\alpha t, p) \rangle$  would equal zero for reasons of continuity. However,  $\langle w, V(\alpha t, x) \rangle = 0$  since  $\langle w_n, V(\alpha_n t_n, x_n) \rangle = 0$  for every n, where  $x = \gamma_v(\infty)$  and  $x_n = \gamma_v(\infty)$ . Since  $\alpha(t)$  is distinct from p it cannot lie on both L(p,x) and  $\gamma_{px}$ , which yields a contradiction. Therefore  $\|\alpha'_n(t_n)\|$  is bounded and  $\alpha'_n(t_n)$  has a cluster point  $w^*$  at  $\alpha(t)$ . By continuity it follows that  $\langle w^*, V(\alpha t, x) \rangle = 0$  and  $1 = -\langle w^*, V(\alpha t, p) \rangle$  since  $\langle \alpha'_n(t_n), V(\alpha_n t_n, x_n) \rangle = 0$  and  $1 = -\langle \alpha'_n(t_n), V(\alpha_n t_n, x_n) \rangle$  for every n. Now  $\langle \alpha'(t), V(\alpha t, x) \rangle = 0$ , and  $1 = -\langle \alpha'(t), V(\alpha t, p) \rangle$  as one sees by differentiating the equation  $t = d(p, \alpha t)$ . Therefore it follows that  $w^* = \alpha'(t)$ , and since  $w^*$  was an arbitrary cluster point of  $\alpha'_n(t_n)$  we conclude that  $\alpha'_n(t_n) \to \alpha'(t)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

LEMMA 2.4c. Let  $v_n$ , v,  $p_n$ , p,  $\alpha_n$  and  $\alpha$  be as in the statement of the previous lemma. If  $s_n$  is any sequence of nonzero numbers that converges to zero, then  $\|\alpha'_n(s_n)\| \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

PROOF. Let  $s_n$  be a sequence of nonzero numbers that converges to zero. We may assume without loss of generality that every  $s_n$  is positive since the definition of the canonical parametrization  $\alpha_n$  depends upon the orientation of H. Differentiating the equation  $t = d(p_n, \alpha_n t)$ , which holds for t > 0 and all n, we obtain the equation

$$1 = -\langle \alpha'_n(t), V(\alpha_n t, p_n) \rangle = -\|\alpha'_n(t)\| \cos \theta_n(t),$$

where  $\theta_n(t)$ , measured between 0 and  $\pi$ , is the angle subtended by  $\alpha'_n(t)$  and  $V(\alpha_n t, p_n)$ . Thus  $\|\alpha'_n(t)\| \ge 1$  and  $\theta_n(t) > \pi/2$  for all n and all t > 0. Suppose that  $\|\alpha'_n(s_n)\| \ge \eta > 1$  for some number  $\eta$  and all n by passing to a subsequence. Now

$$V(\alpha_n s_n, x_n) = h_{s_n^*} V(p_n, x_n)$$

for some  $s_n^* > 0$ , where  $x_n = \gamma_{\nu_n}(\infty)$ , and therefore

$$V(p_n, x_n) = h_{-s^*} V(\alpha_n s_n, x_n),$$

which implies that  $p_n$  lies to the right of  $\gamma_{\alpha_n s_n, x}$ . Therefore

$$\theta_n^* = \not \lt (V(\alpha_n s_n, p_n), \ V(\alpha_n s_n, x_n))$$

$$= \theta_n(s_n) - \not \lt (\alpha'_n(s_n), \ V(\alpha_n s_n, x_n)) = \theta_n(s_n) - \pi/2.$$

Since  $\|\alpha'_n(s_n)\| \ge \eta > 1$ , there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\pi/2 < \theta_n(s_n) < \pi - \delta$ , and consequently  $0 < \theta_n^* < \pi/2 - \delta$  for every n.

Consider the circle  $C_n$  of radius 1 with center  $p'_n = \gamma_{\alpha_n s_n, x_n}(1)$  that passes

through  $\alpha_n s_n$ . Let  $\sigma_n$  be the unit speed geodesic such that  $\sigma_n(0) = \alpha_n(s_n)$  and  $\sigma_n(s_n) = p_n$ . The fact that  $\theta_n^* < \pi/2 - \delta$  implies that an initial segment of  $\sigma_n$  of length  $\varepsilon_n$  lies within the circle  $C_n$ . Since the centers  $p'_n$  of  $C_n$  are a bounded sequence in  $E_n$  and the radii equal 1, one may choose an  $E_n$  of such that  $E_n \ge E_n$  of or all  $E_n$ . The interior of  $E_n$  is contained in the interior of the horocycle  $E_n$  and  $E_n$  for all  $E_n$  and consequently  $E_n$  lies inside  $E_n$  for all  $E_n$ . This contradicts the fact that  $E_n$  is on  $E_n$  and  $E_n$  and  $E_n$  and  $E_n$  and  $E_n$  are  $E_n$  and  $E_n$  are  $E_n$  are  $E_n$  are  $E_n$  and  $E_n$  are  $E_n$  and  $E_n$  are  $E_n$  and  $E_n$  are  $E_n$ 

One could end the proof of the lemma here, but the assertion that the numbers  $\varepsilon_n$  are bounded below by some  $\varepsilon > 0$  requires more justification. If  $g_n(t) = d(\sigma_n t, p'_n)$ , then

$$g_n''(t) = -\langle \sigma_n'(t), \nabla_{\sigma_n'(t)} W_n \rangle = \pm k_n(\sigma_n t),$$

where  $W_n = V(\cdot, p'_n)$  is the inward normal vector field for all circles with center  $p'_n$ , and  $k_n(q)$  denotes the geodesic curvature at q of the circle with center  $p'_n$  that passes through q. Let the Gaussian curvature be bounded below by  $-c^2$  on a compact set C containing all circles with center  $p'_n$  and radius  $\leq 2$ . A standard comparison technique shows that the geodesic curvatures of any circle with center  $p'_n$  and radius  $\leq 2$  are not greater than the geodesic curvatures of a circle of equal radius in the hyperbolic plane with curvature  $-c^2$ . Since  $d(p'_n, \alpha_n s_n) = 1$ , it follows that  $|k_n(\sigma_n t)| \leq c \cdot \coth(c/2) = B$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and all n. Thus  $|g''_n(t)| \leq B$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and all n. Now

$$g'_n(0) = -\langle \sigma'_n(0), W_n(\sigma_n 0) \rangle = -\langle V(\alpha_n s_n, p_n), V(\alpha_n s_n, p'_n) \rangle$$
  
=  $-\cos \theta_n^* \leqslant -\cos(\pi/2 - \delta) = -\sigma < 0.$ 

Therefore  $g'_n(t) < 0$  for  $0 \le t \le \varepsilon = \sigma/B$ , and this implies that  $\sigma_n(t)$  lies inside  $C_n$  for  $0 < t \le \varepsilon$ .

LEMMA 2.4d. Let  $v_n$  be a sequence of unit vectors in H that converges to a unit vector v, and let  $t_n$  be a sequence of numbers that converges to a number t. Then  $\beta_n(t_n) \to \beta(t)$ , where  $\beta_n$  and  $\beta$  are the positively oriented unit speed parametrizations starting at  $p_n = \mu(v_n)$  and  $p = \mu(v)$  of the horocycles determined by  $v_n$  and v respectively.

PROOF. Since  $d(\beta_n t_n, \beta_n t) \le |t_n - t|$  it suffices to prove that  $\beta_n(t) \to \beta(t)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . This result is trivial if t = 0, and as usual it suffices to consider only the case where t > 0. Let  $\alpha_n$  and  $\alpha$  be the canonical parametrizations starting at  $p_n = \mu(v_n)$  and  $p = \mu(v)$  of the horocycles determined by  $v_n$  and v respectively. Choose numbers  $t_n^* > 0$  and  $t^* > 0$  such that  $\beta_n(t) = \alpha_n(t_n^*)$  and  $\beta(t) = \alpha(t^*)$ . By Lemma 2.4b it suffices to show that  $t_n^* \to t^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Since  $t_n^* = d(p_n, \beta_n t) \le t$  there exists a cluster point  $s^*$  of the sequence  $\{t_n^*\}$  and by passing to a subsequence we may further assume that  $t_n^* \to s^*$ . As  $\varepsilon > 0$  tends to zero, the length of  $\alpha_n$  between  $\varepsilon$  and  $t_n^*$  tends to the length of  $\beta_n$  between 0 and t, namely t, for any fixed n. Therefore

$$t = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^{t_n} \|\alpha'_n(u)\| du$$

for each fixed n, and similarly

$$t = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\varepsilon}^{t^*} \|\alpha'(u)\| du.$$

Although  $\alpha'_n(0)$  and  $\alpha'(0)$  do not exist we may define  $\|\alpha'_n(0)\| = \|\alpha'(0)\| = 1$  and the resulting functions  $u \to \|\alpha'_n(u)\|$  and  $u \to \|\alpha'(u)\|$  are continuous at u = 0 by virtue of the preceding lemma. Fixing n, the bounded convergence theorem implies that

$$t = \int_0^{t_n^*} \|\alpha'_n(u)\| du,$$

where the integrand is defined everywhere in  $[0, t_n^*]$ . Similarly  $t = \int_0^{t^*} \|\alpha'(u)\| du$ . We will conclude the proof by showing that  $\int_0^{t^*} \|\alpha'_n(u)\| du$  converges to  $\int_0^{s^*} \|\alpha'(u)\| du$ . This will show that  $s^* = t^*$ , and since  $s^*$  is an arbitrary cluster point of  $t_n^*$  it will show that  $t_n^* \to t^*$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Let  $f_n(u) = \|\alpha'_n(u)\| \Re_{[0,t_n^*]}(u)$  be defined on [0,t], where  $\Re$  denotes a characteristic function, and let  $f(u) = \|\alpha'(u)\| \Re_{[0,s^*]}(u)$  be defined on the same interval. Now  $f_n(u) \to f(u)$  for  $u \in [0,t]$  since  $t_n^* \to s^*$  and  $\alpha'_n(u) \to \alpha'(u)$  for  $u \neq 0$  by Lemma 2.4b. If  $R \geqslant 1$  is an upper bound for  $\|\alpha'(u)\|$  on [0,t], then  $\|\alpha'_n(u)\| \leqslant R+1$  for  $u \in [0,t]$  and all sufficiently large n; one observes that for any sequence  $s_n$  in [0,t] that converges to a number s, either  $\|\alpha'_n(s_n)\| \to \|\alpha'(s)\|$  by Lemma 2.4b if s > 0 or  $\|\alpha'_n(s_n)\| \to 1$  by Lemma 2.4c if s = 0. Therefore

$$\int_0^{t_n^*} \|\alpha'_n(u)\| \, du = \int_0^t f_n(u) \, du \to \int_0^t f(u) \, du = \int_0^{s^*} \|\alpha'(u)\| \, du$$

by the bounded convergence theorem. This completes the proof of the lemma. We now complete the proof of Proposition 2.4. Let  $(v_n, t_n)$  be a sequence in  $SH \times \mathbb{R}$  that converges to a point (v, t). We show that  $h(v_n, t_n) \to h(v, t)$ . Let  $\beta_n$  and  $\beta$  be the positively oriented unit speed parametrizations starting at  $p_n = \mu(v_n)$  and  $p = \mu(v)$  of the horocycles determined by  $v_n$  and v respectively. Then  $h(v_n, t_n) = V(\beta_n t_n, x_n)$ , where  $x_n = \gamma_{v_n}(\infty)$ , and  $h(v, t) = V(\beta t, x)$ , where  $x = \gamma_{v_n}(\infty)$ . Now  $x_n \to x$  since  $v_n \to v$  and  $\beta_n t_n \to \beta t$  by the previous lemma. Therefore  $h(v_n, t_n) \to h(v, t)$  by the continuity of the vector function V.

6. Appendix II. In this section we prove the supporting results of Theorem 4.9.

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.9a. Let  $B \subseteq \Omega_h$  be a compact minimal set. If B is a periodic orbit, then the result follows from Proposition 3.5. If  $B = \Omega_h = SM$  and M is compact, then the result follows from Theorem 4.5. By Proposition 4.8 these are the only cases that arise for B. One can also construct a direct proof analogous to Proposition 3.5.

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.9b. Suppose that the lemma is false. Then for some compact minimal set  $B \subseteq \Omega_h$  and some open subset O of  $\Omega_h$  that meets B, there exist a divergent sequence of positive numbers  $t_n$  and a sequence of vectors  $v_n$  in B such that  $O \cap \{h_t(v_n): -t_n \le t \le t_n\}$  is empty for each integer n. Passing to a subsequence we let  $v_n$  converge to a vector v in B. By continuity the entire orbit h(v) is disjoint from O, but this contradicts the fact that h(v) is dense in B since B is minimal.

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.9c. Suppose that the lemma is false for some compact minimal set  $B \subseteq \Omega_h$  and some numbers  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $s_0 > 0$ . Using Sublemmas A and B (stated and proved below) we shall show that there exists  $v^*$  in B such that  $L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma) = L(\sigma)$  for any segment  $\sigma \subseteq h(v^*)$ . Assume for the moment that this has been established. Let  $\tilde{v} = V(p, x)$  be a lift of  $v^*$  for suitable  $p \in H$ ,  $x \in H(\infty)$ .

We assert that the curvature at every point inside the horocycle L(p,x) is zero. Every point inside L(p,x) is of the form  $\mu(g_{u_0}h_{t_0}v)$  for a suitable  $u_0 > 0$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be any segment of  $h(\tilde{v})$  that contains  $h_{t_0}\tilde{v}$ , and let  $L(s) = L(g_s\tilde{\sigma})$ . From the discussion above we see that  $L(-\varepsilon) = L(0)$ . By Sublemma B it follows that K = 0 for all points  $\mu(g_u w)$ , u > 0,  $w \in \tilde{\sigma}$ , and in particular for  $\mu(g_{u_0}h_{t_0}\tilde{v})$ . Therefore  $K \equiv 0$  inside L(p,x).

By Sublemma A, L(p, x) is a geodesic  $\sigma$  of H. Therefore if  $y \in H(\infty)$  is a point such that  $\not <_p (x, y) \le \pi/2$ , then the curvature is zero on  $\gamma_{py}[0, \infty)$ . In particular, the point  $x \in H(\infty)$  has a neighborhood U in the cone topology (see [10]) such that  $K \equiv 0$  in  $U \cap H$ . However,  $x \in L(D)$  by Proposition 3.2 since  $v^* \in \Omega_h$ . Since K is not identically zero in H and  $x \in L(D)$  we may choose a sequence  $\varphi_n \subseteq D$  and a point  $q \in H$  such that K(q) < 0 and  $\varphi_n q \to x$  in the cone topology. For large n,  $\varphi_n q \in U$  and  $K(\varphi_n q) = K(q) < 0$ , a contradiction. This will complete the proof of Lemma 4.9c.

Assuming that Lemma 4.9c is false for some compact minimal set B and some numbers  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $s_0 > 0$  we now establish the existence of  $v^*$  in B such that  $L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma) = L(\sigma)$  for any segment  $\sigma \subseteq h(v^*)$ . By hypothesis we can find a sequence of horocycle segments  $\sigma_n \subseteq h(v_n)$ ,  $v_n \in B$ , such that  $L(\sigma_n) \to +\infty$  and  $L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma_n) \leqslant L(\sigma_n) + s_0$  for every n. By changing  $v_n$  if necessary we may further assume that  $\sigma_n = \{h_t(v_n): -t_n \leqslant t \leqslant t_n\}$ , where  $2t_n = L(\sigma_n)$ . From Sublemma B it follows that for any positive number t and all  $t_n \geqslant t$  we

have

$$L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma_n[-t_n, -t]) \geqslant L(\sigma_n[-t_n, -t])$$
 and  $L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma_n[t, t_n]) \geqslant L(\sigma_n[t, t_n])$ .

We conclude that

$$L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma_n[-t,t]) \leqslant L(\sigma_n[-t,t]) + s_0$$

for all t > 0 and all sufficiently large n.

Since B is compact we may let  $v_n$  converge to v in B by passing to a subsequence. Let  $\overline{\sigma}(t)$  denote  $h_t(v)$  for all t in R. The inequality displayed above and continuity imply that for every t > 0 we have

$$L(g_{-\varepsilon}\overline{\sigma}[-t,t]) \leqslant L(\overline{\sigma}[-t,t]) + s_0.$$

By Sublemma B the function  $\varphi(t) = L(g_{-\varepsilon}\overline{\sigma}[-t,t]) - L(\overline{\sigma}[-t,t])$  is nonnegative and nondecreasing in t for t > 0. Therefore there exists  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi(t) = A \leqslant s_0$ . Choose a divergent sequence of numbers  $T_n > 0$  such that for all  $s \geqslant t \geqslant T_n$  we have  $0 \leqslant \varphi(s) - \varphi(t) \leqslant 1/n$ . It follows that for any  $s \geqslant T_n$  we have

$$1/n \geqslant \varphi(s) - \varphi(T_n) \geqslant L(g_{-s}\sigma[T_n, s]) - L(\sigma[T_n, s]).$$

Now let  $\sigma_n^*(t) = \overline{\sigma}(t + 2T_n)$ . It follows from the discussion above that

$$0 \leqslant L(g_{-n}\sigma_n^*[-T_n, T_n]) - L(\sigma_n^*[-T_n, T_n]) \leqslant 1/n.$$

We see from the inequality above and Sublemma B that for any t > 0 we have

$$0 \leqslant L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma_n^*[-t,t]) - L(\sigma_n^*[-t,t]) \leqslant 1/n$$

for all n so large that  $t \leq T_n$ . Now let  $v_n^* = \sigma_n^*(0)$  converge to  $v^*$  in B by passing to a subsequence. It follows by continuity that for every t > 0

$$L(g_{-s}\sigma^*[-t,t]) = L(\sigma^*[-t,t]),$$

where  $\sigma^*(t) = h_t(v^*)$ . If  $\sigma$  is any segment in  $h(v^*)$  then by Sublemma B we have  $L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma) \ge L(\sigma)$ . It now follows from the equality above that  $L(g_{-\varepsilon}\sigma) = L(\sigma)$ .

We conclude Lemma 4.9c with the statements and proofs of Sublemmas A and B.

SUBLEMMA A. Let  $x \in H(\infty)$  and  $p \in H$  be given, and suppose that each point inside L(p,x) has zero Gaussian curvature. Then L(p,x) is a geodesic of H.

PROOF. Let  $\overline{\gamma}$  be the unit speed geodesic, unique up to orientation, that is perpendicular to  $\gamma_{px}$  at p. By Lemma 2.1d in Appendix I, it suffices to prove

that  $\overline{\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) \subseteq L(p,x)$  to conclude that  $\overline{\gamma}(\mathbf{R}) = L(p,x)$ . For any number  $t \neq 0$ ,  $\not\prec_p(\overline{\gamma}t,x) = \pi/2$  and this implies that  $d(\overline{\gamma}t,\gamma_{px}s) - s \geqslant 0$  for all s > 0 by the law of cosines [10, p. 47]. Hence  $f(\overline{\gamma}t) \geqslant 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , where f is the Busemann function at x such that f(p) = 0. To show that  $f(\overline{\gamma}t) \leqslant 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  it suffices to show that  $(f \circ \sigma)(s) < 0$  for any s > 0 and any geodesic  $\sigma$  such that  $\not\prec (\sigma'(0), V(p,x)) < \pi/2$ . Suppose that this is false for some such geodesic  $\sigma$ . Now  $(f \circ \sigma)(s) < 0$  for small positive values of s since

$$(f \circ \sigma)'(0) = \langle \sigma'(0), -V(p, x) \rangle < 0$$

by Proposition 1.12. Let  $s_0 > 0$  be the first positive value for which  $f \circ \sigma$  is zero. The segment  $\sigma[0, s_0]$  lies on or inside L(p, x), and therefore for any t > 0 the points on or inside the geodesic triangle with vertices p,  $\sigma(s_0)$  and  $\gamma_{px}t$  have Gaussian curvature zero. Therefore the sum of the interior angles equals  $\pi$ . It follows that

$$\swarrow_{\sigma s_0}(p,x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \not \searrow_{\sigma s_0}(p,\gamma_{px}t) = \pi - \not \searrow_p(\sigma s_0,x) > \pi/2$$

since  $\not \prec_p (\sigma s_0, x) < \pi/2$  and  $\not \prec_{\gamma_{px}t} (p, \sigma s_0) \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ . On the other hand,  $(f \circ \sigma)(s) < 0$  for  $0 < s < s_0$  and therefore

$$0 \leqslant (f \circ \sigma)'(s_0) = \langle \sigma'(s_0), -V(\sigma s_0, x) \rangle.$$

This implies that  $\not <_{\sigma s_0}(p,x) \leqslant \pi/2$ , a contradiction that completes the proof.

SUBLEMMA B. Let M be a complete surface with  $K \leq 0$ . Let  $v \in SM$  be given and let  $\sigma \subseteq h(v)$  be an arc of parametrized length a > 0. For each number s let L(s) be the parametrized length of the arc  $g_s(\sigma) \subseteq g_s h(v) = h(g_s v)$ . Then L(s) is nonincreasing in s. Moreover if K is negative at some point  $\mu(g_u w)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $w \in \sigma$ , then L(s) is strictly decreasing on any interval [s', u], where s' < u.

PROOF. Recall that  $\mu: SM \to M$  is the projection map. Since the horocycle flow in SM is induced from that in SH it suffices to prove the result in SH. Note that the parametrized length of the arc  $\sigma \subseteq h(v)$  is just the length of  $\mu(\sigma)$  in M or H. Let p, x be those points such that v = V(p, x), and let  $\alpha(t)$ ,  $0 \le t \le a$ , be a nonsingular parametrization of  $\sigma$ . Define  $r: \mathbb{R} \times [0, a] \to H$  by  $r(s,t) = \gamma_t(s)$ , where  $\gamma_t = \gamma_{\alpha t,x}$ . Let f be the Busemann function at x that is zero on  $\mu(\sigma)$ . The s-parameter curves are unit speed geodesics belonging to x, and the t-parameter curves are parametrizations of arcs of horocycles at x. Hence the s and t parameter curves are orthogonal. Let  $r_s(s,t)$  and  $r_t(s,t)$  denote  $r_t(\partial/\partial s)(s,t)$  and  $r_t(\partial/\partial t)(s,t)$  respectively. The vector field  $r_t(s,t) = r_t(s,t)$  is a Jacobi vector field on the unit speed geodesic  $r_t(s,t) = r_t(s,t)$  since all s-parameter curves are geodesics. By [16] or our own unpublished work f is a  $C^2$  convex function. This means that  $(f \circ \gamma)''(t) \ge 0$  for any number t and

any geodesic  $\gamma$ , or alternatively that  $\langle \nabla_w \operatorname{grad} f, w \rangle \geqslant 0$  for any vector w. Note that  $(\operatorname{grad} f)(r(s,t)) = -r_s(s,t)$  since  $\operatorname{grad} f(q) = -V(q,x)$  for any  $q \in H$  by Proposition 1.12. Hence  $\langle \nabla_t r_s, r_t \rangle (s,t) \leqslant 0$  for all s,t. In particular,

$$\partial/\partial s\langle Y_t(s), Y_t(s)\rangle = 2\langle \nabla_{r_t} r_t, r_t \rangle(s, t) = 2\langle \nabla_{r_t} r_s, r_t \rangle(s, t) \leq 0.$$

This implies that  $y(s,t) = ||Y_t(s)||$  is nonincreasing in s for each  $t \in [0,a]$ . The parametrized length of  $g, \sigma$  equals the length of  $\mu(g, \sigma)$ :  $t \to r(s, t)$ . Hence

$$L(s) = \int_0^a \|r_t(s,t)\| dt = \int_0^a y(s,t) dt$$

since y(s, t) is never zero. It is now clear that L(s) is nonincreasing in s.

Suppose now that K is negative at  $\mu(g_u w)$  for some  $u \in R$  and some  $w \in \sigma$ . We may write  $g_u w = r_s(u, t_0)$  for some  $t_0 \in [0, a]$ . We show that L(s) is strictly monotone decreasing on [s', u] for any number s' < u. Assume that this is false and choose numbers  $s' \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq u$  such that  $L(s_1) = L(s_2)$ . It is well known that y(s, t) satisfies the Jacobi equation

$$(\partial^2 y/\partial s^2)(s,t) + K(s,t)y(s,t) = 0$$

where K(s,t) is the Gaussian curvature at r(s,t). Since L(s) is nonincreasing it follows that  $L(s) = L(s_1)$  for all  $s \in [s_1, s_2]$ , which implies that  $y(s,t) \equiv y(s_1,t)$  for all  $s \in [s_1, s_2]$  and all  $t \in [0, a]$ . In particular for  $s \ge s_1$ ,  $(\partial y/\partial s)(s, t_0) \ge 0$  since

$$(\partial y/\partial s)(s_1, t_0) = 0$$
 and  $(\partial^2 y/\partial s^2)(s, t_0) = -K(s, t_0)y(s, t_0) \ge 0$ .

Therefore  $y(s, t_0) \equiv y(s_1, t_0)$  for all  $s \ge s_1$  since  $(\partial y/\partial s)(s, t_0) \le 0$  for all s by the discussion above. From the Jacobi equation above and the fact that  $y(s, t_0)$  is never zero we conclude that  $K(s, t_0) \equiv 0$  for  $s \ge s_1$ . This contradicts the fact that  $K(u, t_0) < 0$  and proves that L is strictly monotone decreasing on [s', u].

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, Manifolds of negative curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (1969), 1-49. MR 40 #4891.
  - 2. H. Busemann, The geometry of geodesics, Academic Press, New York, 1955. MR 17, 779.
- 3. P. Eberlein, The cut locus of noncompact finitely connected surfaces without conjugate points, Comment Math. Helv. 51 (1976), 23-44.
- 4. —, Geodesics and ends in certain surfaces without conjugate points, Advances of Math. (to appear).
- 5. ——, Geodesic flow in certain manifolds without conjugate points, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 167 (1972), 151-170. MR 45 #4453.
- 6. —, Geodesic flows on negatively curved manifolds. I, Ann. of Math. (2) 95 (1972), 492-510. MR 46 #10024.

- 7. ——, Geodesic flows on negatively curved manifolds. II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 178 (1973), 57-82. MR 47 #2636.
- 8. ——, Some properties of the fundamental group of a Fuchsian manifold, Invent. Math. 19 (1973), 5-13.
- 9. —, When is a geodesic flow of Anosov type? II, J. Differential Geometry 8 (1973), 565-577. MR 52 #1788.
  - 10. P. Eberlein and B. O'Neill, Visibility manifolds, Pacific J. Math. 46 (1973), 45-109.
- 11. H. Furstenberg, *The unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow*, Recent Advances in Topological Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 318, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973, pp. 95-115.
- 12. A. Grant, Surfaces of negative curvature and permanent regional transitivity, Duke Math. J. 5 (1939), 207-229.
- 13. R. Gulliver, On the variety of manifolds without conjugate points, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 210 (1975), 185-201. MR 52 #4175.
  - 14. G. Hedlund, Fuchsian groups and mixtures, Ann. of Math. (2) 40 (1939), 370-383.
  - 15. ——, Fuchsian groups and transitive horocycles, Duke Math. J. 2 (1936), 530-542.
  - 16. E. Heintze and H.-C. Im Hof, On the geometry of horospheres (preprint).
- 17. E. Hopf, Statistik der geodätischen Linien in Mannigfaltigkeiten negativer Krümmung, Ber. Verh. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig 91 (1939), 261-304.
- 18. B. Marcus, Ergodic properties of horocycle flows on surfaces of negative curvature, Ann. of Math. 105 (1977), 81-105.
- 19. ——, Unique ergodicity of the horocycle flow: variable negative curvature case, Israel J. Math. 21 (1975), 133-144.
- 20. P. J. Nicholls, Transitive horocycles for Fuchsian groups, Duke Math. J. 42 (1975), 307-312. MR 51 #1792.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA 27514